1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN		
3	SOUTHERN DIVISION		
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
7	Plaintiff, Case No. 10-20123		
8	-vs-		
9			
10	D-1, DAVID BRIAN STONE, SR.		
11	D-2, DAVID BRIAN STONE, JR. Detroit, Michigan		
12	D-3, JOSHUA MATTHEW STONE, April 27, 2010		
13	D-4, TINA MAE STONE,		
14	D-5, JOSHUA JOHN CLOUGH,		
15	D-6, MICHAEL DAVID MEEKS,		
16	D-7, THOMAS WILLIAM PIATEK,		
17	D-8, KRISTOPHER T. SICKLES,		
18	D-9, JACOB J. WARD,		
19	Defendants.		
20	/		
21			
22	TRANSCRIPT OF BOND REVIEW HEARING - VOL. 1		
23	BEFORE THE HONORABLE VICTORIA A. ROBERTS		
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
25			

-	
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	
4	
5	JONATHAN TUKEL, ESQ.
6	Asst. U.S. Attorneys
7	
8	
9	For the Defendants: WILLIAM W. SWOR, ESQ.
10	RICHARD HELFRICK, ESQ.
11	TODD SHAUKER, ESQ.
12	JAMES C. THOMAS, ESQ.
13	MICHAEL NAUGHTON, ESQ.
14	MICHAEL A. RATAJ, ESQ.
15	RANDALL ROBERTS, ESQ.
16	MARK SATAWA, ESQ.
17	ARTHUR J. WEISS, ESQ.
18	HENRY M. SCHARG, ESQ.
19	CHRISTOPHER M. SEIKALY, ESQ.
20	
21	
22	
23	Proceedings taken by mechanical stenography, transcript
24	produced by computer-aided transcription
25	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
3	WITNESSES: PAGE			
4 5 6	<u>LESLIE LARSEN</u> (Government)			
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Helfrick	29		
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Swor	35		
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Thomas	46		
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rataj	56		
11	Cross-Examination by Mr. Roberts	65		
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Satawa	67		
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Seikaly	73		
14	Examination by Mr. Waterstreet	76		
15	Recross-Examination by Mr. Seikaly	77		
16	Cross-Examination by Mr. Scharg	78		
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Weiss	85		
18				
19				
20	<u>EXHIBITS</u>			
21				
22	NUMBER IDENTIFICATION RECEIVED			
23				
24	None.			
25				

1	Detroit, Michigan	
2	Tuesday, April 27, 2010	
3	(At about 1:31 p.m.)	
4		
5	(Call to Order of the Court)	
6	THE CLERK OF THE COURT: Court calls case number 10-20123, the	
7	United States of America versus David Brian Stone, David Brian Stone, Jr., Joshua	
8	Matthew Stone, Tina Mae Stone, Joshua John Clough, Michael David Meeks,	
9	Thomas William Piatek, Christopher T. Sickles and Jacob J. Ward. Counsel, please	
10	identify yourselves for the record.	
11	MR. WATERSTREET: Ronald Waterstreet appearing on behalf of the	
12	United States.	
13	MR. TUKEL: May it please the Court, Jonathan Tukel on behalf of the	
14	United States.	
15	THE COURT: Good afternoon.	
16	MR. SWOR: William Swor on behalf of David Brian Stone.	
17	MR. HELFRICK: Richard Helfrick and Todd Shauker from the Federal	
18	Defender Office on behalf of David Stone, Jr.	
19	MR. THOMAS: James Thomas and Michael Naughton on behalf of	
20	Joshua Stone.	
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Who's with you, Mr. Thomas?	
22	MR. THOMAS: Michael Naughton is with me. N-a-u-g-h-t-o-n.	
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	
24	MR. RATAJ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Michael Rataj on behalf o	
25	Tina Mae Stone.	

MR. ROBERTS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. If it pleases the Court, Randall Roberts appearing on behalf and with Mr. Joshua Clough. MR. SATAWA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. If it please this honorable Court, Mark Satawa appearing on behalf of and along with Michael Meeks. MR. SEIKALY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Christopher Seikaly appearing on behalf of Mr. Ward. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. SCHARG: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Henry Scharg on behalf of Kristopher Sickles. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Good afternoon, everyone. Oh, I'm 10 11 sorry. Mr. Weiss? MR. WEISS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. May it please the Court, 12 Arthur Weiss on behalf of Mr. Piatek. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Good afternoon. Good afternoon, everyond. This matter is before the Court on motions filed by all nine of the Defendants to review 15 Detention Orders that were entered by Magistrate Judge Sheer. This Court is 16 17 reviewing the Detention Orders that were entered on a de novo basis, and I'd call on Mr. Waterstreet or Mr. Tukel, whoever, to begin this. 18 I did review -- I'll tell you what -- I've reviewed the entire record that was made 19 before Magistrate Judge Sheer and certainly reviewed all of the motions and all of the 20 21 Government responses to those motions. So --22 MR. SWOR: Your Honor, as a preliminary matter, William Swor. We received electronically yesterday a recorded conversation from the Government with 23 24 an indication that they intend to play that during the hearing today. We also received a transcript. We assume that the Government intends to offer that transcript as part 25

of the record. For the record and for this Court, we object to the use of any transcripts in these proceedings. These recordings are in English. They are intelligible. They do not need any of the -- they do not fit any of the purposes for which transcripts have been used. The tape or the conversation itself is the evidence and the tape should be the only thing, or the conversation should be the only thing presented into the record. There is no use for the transcript for this hearing.

THE COURT: All right. Let me just ask a question. Is this transcript different and recording different from the transcript and recording that was entered in front of Judge Sheer or is it the same?

MR. SWOR: It's a different one.

THE COURT: It is a different one, all right. Do you have a response, Mr. Waterstreet?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor. As a preliminary matter, the typical Rules of Evidence do not apply in a Detention Hearing. The transcript is not the evidence; the recording is the evidence. However, it is an aid to the Court and to the listener as to the contents of that. It helps identify the participants in the conversation. The whole purpose of it is to help this Court make an intelligent understanding of the proceedings. I don't see what harm there could possibly be by giving the Court all the information possible to make an informed decision.

MR. SWOR: Well, our Honor, it simply -- then it's of no use. I mean the Court and the witness are going to presumably identify the speakers. I mean if the purpose of the tape is to hear what is said, then the Court must have to -- must have to make a decision. Yes, the Rules of Evidence do not apply at a hearing, but quite frankly, I think this Court can hear quite well and needs no assistance in hearing what is being said.

As far as using a transcript or what the Government claims is a transcript, this is not hearsay; it's hearsay upon hearsay. Who said what? The Court can listen. It doesn't need the aid of the transcript.

THE COURT: Mr. Swor, the Court overrules your objection. I believe that the Court is going to benefit, at least at this juncture, by having the transcript available to it to read along with that recording and if at some point later in these proceedings there becomes some controversy between what is in that transcript and what is on the recording, we'll deal with it then. But I can tell you, you make presumptions about my hearing, but I can tell you I'm benefited when I can read along.

MR. SWOR: That's my concern. Well, that is my concern is the Court will be reading and not listening and then let me ask for direction then. Are we supposed to object contemporaneously with any mistake or error or conflict or are we to wait 'til the end and point out what we believe are conflicts?

THE COURT: I guess my preference would be, Mr. Swor, if you simply kept track of the areas on the tape where you believe there is a conflict. We'll just let it run through and then I'll take up any objections that you have.

MR. SWOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And let me correct the record also. Mr. Piatek was not detained by Judge Sheer. His Order of Detention was entered in Indiana by Judge Cherry.

MR. HELFRICK: Richard Helfrick. Just a housekeeping matter, Your Honor. When we met last week, we discussed the fact that if an attorney filed a motion in the case it would be basically assumed that all others were joining in without having to file a separate piece of paper saying we join. I just want to put that on the

record.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two, for purposes of this hearing, I think perhaps likewise if we could just have a rule that if one attorney objects, it's assumed that all the attorneys are objecting.

THE COURT: Okay, I'll deal with the latter first. I'll take one objection to apply to all Defendants. With respect to your first point, Mr. Helfrick, I've thought about it and I think my preference is to require that if something gets filed, you file something that simply says you're joining into it. Otherwise, we may be dealing with matters that don't pertain to certain Defendants or that you don't care about if we haven't heard from you.

MR. HELFRICK: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. Yes, Mr. Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, this afternoon or this morning on a break during court I noticed that the Government had come in to see you earlier today. I had received at about the same time, although I didn't have it in hand, notice from your court that there was an ex parte meeting. I would ask the Court to address that issue.

THE COURT: All right, I will give you an overview of that. The Court entered an Order last week in connection with the Defense request that the Government make the Case Agent available for cross-examination if the Government intended to proceed in this hearing by proffer. The Defendants continued to object that the Government could proceed by proffer. This Court ruled that the Government was not required to produce witnesses, that it could proceed by proffer and the Court also ruled that the Defendants would be allowed to cross-examine the Case Agent and required the Government to produce the Case Agent for purposes of today's hearing.

The Government wished to speak to this Court this morning because in their written objection to presenting the Case Agent, they raised *Touhy* regulations -- the exact cite to them escape me right now -- and the Government lawyers wanted to discuss some of the specific language in the *Touhy* regulations and whether the Case Agent would be required to reveal certain information that it appears *Touhy* regulations would govern unless there has been a release by different agencies of the Government. That was my discussion with Mr. Waterstreet and with Mr. Tukel this morning.

Anything else before we continue? Mr. Waterstreet.

MR. WATERSTREET: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the Court did mention in its opening remarks that it did review the transcripts of the prior proceeding and I do not intend to re-plow the same field so-to-speak, but I did want to go through some of the items that were presented in the previous Detention Hearing on March 31, 2010 to make sure that the Court was aware of the source of the information, that it wasn't just me getting up here and just discussing some facts that I may or may not have heard. So if the Court will indulge for a few moments here, that I will go through and to the best of my ability identify the different times in which I talked about a different issue and indicate where the information came from.

Back in December of 2008, I indicated that there was a -- various emails that were issued as a result of an interview of a Federal firearms licensee by the ATF.

The basis of the information contained in there were the actual emails issued by Joshua Clough and also issued by the Hutaree under the name of Joan Stonewall.

THE COURT: And the Government has copies of those emails?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WATERSTREET: Another was concerning a video that was publicly available from the Hutaree. I have that available for the Court if the Court wishes to review that. I described it, the video to the Court, but that's a -- it's the Hutaree OZ-1 and it depicts different people firing off explosives and burning the flag of the United Nations and then raising the Hutaree flag. And again, that would be something that s publicly available and I believe the media has picked up on that and played that already.

THE COURT: Mr. Waterstreet, in your timeline of events where does that video fall?

MR. WATERSTREET: Well, Your Honor, what it was was an explanation of yet another situation which I'm about to get to was some events involving Josh Clough.

On November 15, 2008, Josh Clough and David Stone and when he was using the nickname of Captain Hutaree appeared on a Internet broadcast on Liberty Tree Radio on a broadcast called the Oz Files and again, that was something that was publicly available. During this broadcast, Mr. Clough discussed different perimeter defense systems that could be employed to detect intruders and he described a battery-operated trip wire detection system to be used by a combination of batteries, clothes pens, wires and a piece of plastic. I make reference to that because in that very same video, the Oz, OZ-1 which depicts the explosive, there's a -- the camera focuses in on the trip wire device and it's exactly the type of trip wire device that Mr. Clough described manufacturing back in November 15, 2008.

THE COURT: Mr. Waterstreet, while you are talking about trip wires, I have a question. In your proffer before Judge Sheer, you make reference to various devices, the IEDs which are these improvised explosive devices, Bouncing Bettys,

explosively formed projectiles, EFPs and the trip wire. Are those all illegal?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor. The manufacturing without a

THE COURT: Thank you.

license of an explosive is illegal.

MR. WATERSTREET: Then I believe I jumped ahead to June 13th, 2009 in which there was training out at the Tomer residence involving David Stone, David Stone, Jr., Joshua Stone, Joshua Clough, Michael Meeks, Thomas Piatek, Jacob Ward and others, and this was a -- the explosives demonstration training. Again, this information that the Government presented was a result of recordings made during that training.

Moving ahead to July 25th, 2009 there was a barbeque at the Tomer Road address with David Stone, David Stone, Jr., Joshua Stone, Joshua Clough, Michael Meeks, Thomas Piatek, Jacob Ward and others and again this was an example of a recorded conversation.

August 13, 2009 was a -- when an undercover agent was present and had a discussion with David Stone. Again, this was a result of a recorded conversation. As I said, Your Honor, the Government would proffer the same information as it did before. I'm just doing this for the benefit of the Court to find out the source of the information.

August 22nd, 2009 was another training day. Present were David Stone, Sr., David Stone, Jr., Joshua Stone, Tina Mae Stone, Josh Clough, Michael Meeks, Thomas Piatek, Kristopher Sickles and Jacob Ward. This was their photograph that was eventually posted on the Hutaree website, which was Government's Proposed Exhibit Number One. That, the source of that came from a publicly available website and again, the events that took place during that training was subject of being

recorded.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

August 27, 2009 there was a shape charge demonstration in which a one-inch hole was placed in a three-quarter inch plate of steel. This too was subject to being recorded. September 13th, 2009 --

THE COURT: August 27th, is that audio or video recording?

MR. WATERSTREET: It was a video recording. I believe Joshua

Clough was the one using the camera that day.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. WATERSTREET: September 13, 2009, this was subject to a recording.

November 7, 2009 which David Stone, Joshua Stone, Joshua Clough, Michael Meeks, Thomas Piatek, Kristopher Sickles and Jacob Ward were present during training. Again, this was subject to a recording as well.

On December 12, 2009, present during that were David Stone, David Stone, Jr., Joshua Stone, Tina Stone, Joshua Clough and Michael Meeks; this was subject to recording as well.

January 9th, 2010 was another training at the Tomer Road address. Present during that time were David Stone, David Stone, Jr., Joshua Stone, Joshua Clough, Michael Meeks, Kristopher Sickles, Jacob Ward. This was subject to a recording as well.

January 14th, 2010, David Stone and Tina Stone met with an undercover agent in which they discussed building several IEDs with PFPs. Again, this was subject to recording.

January 17th, 2010, an alert went out and it was shortly canceled. This is a result of contact to various members of the Hutaree and contact with the undercover

agent.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

January 20, 2010, the undercover agent received an email from David Stone with two files attached. Those made up Government's Exhibits Two and Three which was the diagram of the concave shaped copper disk laying on top of a can, and the diagram of the EFP as it is explosively formed after detonation. Those are -- were publicly on Wikipedia and those were also sent to the undercover agent from David Stone.

February 6, 2010, which I referred to as the planned Kentucky trip in which the Government's proposed Exhibit Number Five in the transcript were played in court. Present at that time were David Stone, Joshua Stone, Tina Stone, Joshua Clough, Michael Meeks and Thomas Piatek. As the Court is aware, that those items were subject to recording.

I believe that those are the different times that I made reference to to particular events, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Waterstreet, I have a question about part of your proffer before Judge Sheer. In one part you said that -- I think this was in January -- you said that David Stone, Sr., told the members that they had to train for a real operation in april.

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: My question is was it the concern for that operation, whatever it was going to be that precipitated the arrest in this case?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And you went on to say that David Stone planned to insert a team into a hostile area using a van.

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And if anybody came who did not submit to the demands of the Hutaree, they would be put on the ground by bullet or knife. What was -- there was a recording of this conversation?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what is your understanding of what this real operation was to be and what this language, inserting a team into a hostile area meant?

MR. WATERSTREET: Well, Your Honor, I can only read the words of David Stone and I can do that for the Court. I have a copy of that transcript. That also -- that transcript was part of documents that were presented to Defense Counsel on the -- during the Detention Hearing of March 31st. I made reference to various quotes from that and I can make reference to that again if the Court wishes.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WATERSTREET: This is the comments of David Stone, Sr. and the Court will have to bear with me; it's a rather long segment: The idea of what we're working on, we're working on the objective for everybody who wasn't here last month. In April, we're going to drop on the ground and do a real op. April is going to consist of two trainings. There will be the second Saturday of April and the fourth Saturday of April. Both teams, full teams. One team will stay at base camp, basically at the trailers. We will have the radios running. Radio operations will be your responsibility for both times. Apparently referring to a particular individual. Running 24 hours a day seven days or whatever. You know what I mean. As many hours as we're out. One team stays there, does patrol through the area. Make sure everything is sitting tight so base camp doesn't have to worry about someone coming in on them. The other team is getting dropped on the ground in April at a location I will decide. I haven't

even decided where. We're going to do a recon on our objective. The idea is Ezra and I need to digress for a moment. Ezra is a squad, a particular squad that the Hutaree has: Ezra is the recon team. We're going to be laying on the ground. They're going to do nothing more than watch the objective. For what? Whatever. The idea is this puts us on the ground. Hostile area. Not supposed to be there. We're going to go in incognito and sit on it for an entire day. We're going to go in on Friday night, early Saturday morning in the dark and be dropped off by moving vehicle. You'll go in, set up a hide. Ezra team has got to set up a hide. You will have to set up an area without breaking -- and breaking is a term of art that you don't go above the -- if you're on a hill and if you appear above the hill, silhouetted breaking the hill be easily seen. Going back to the transcript: See like, like here we have the advantage of a hill. I don't want you to break that hill. You've got to go in and set up your cover so you can sit there and move around a little bit and not been seen. The rest of the team, their job is doing nothing more than watching their back door and do short recon patrols down and around without the whole team going. So point team might go or command team might go or tail team. We're not going to send the other seven guys on this big patrol leaving them vulnerable. Best off would be either point team goes or other rotate point team and tail team.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then he goes on: Make sure they -- you keep them on regular basis because what you see on one patrol if it's moved on the second patrol, you'll know something is wrong. We're going to be locked and loaded. Radios will be up all the time. If you're made, somebody comes tripping along, they just happen to see you, we're going to handle it as a hostile situation. That means you're going to put them on the ground either by putting bullets in them or if they're willing to get down on the ground and let you leave the area peacefully, great. But you're on that radio because your

ride will be coming in. Whenever that ride comes in, there's going to be at least two guns on that vehicle ready to cover you as you're extracting. Nothing more than basically using a helicopter. Only difference, we're going to use a van to do it.

THE COURT: Mr. Waterstreet, let me interrupt you. Is the Government reading this as describing something other than a training?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor. This -- as -- to use the words of -- it will become clearer as I go on, Your Honor. They're doing it as a real op, a real operation.

THE COURT: And I didn't write down everything that you said, but you started out by saying we're going to drop on the ground and do a real operation and then I thought the language was about two trainings.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WATERSTREET: They're going to do two trainings in April. It will be on the 2nd of April and the 4th of April, both teams, full teams. Your Honor, for what it's worth, all I can do is read what David Stone said.

THE COURT: Oh, I understand that. I guess maybe I should preface this by asking another question and that is a lot of this about -- is about training that the Hutaree engaged in. Can you give me some background on the types of training they were engaged in? And I guess my second question is, is that training typical of what militia members train on or about?

MR. WATERSTREET: Well, Your Honor, I don't want to go too far afield of what I have as recorded. However, there have been a lot of reports issued by the various members of other militias around Michigan that have been reported in the newspaper --

THE COURT: (Interjecting) I'm aware of that and I don't want to go outside of this record. I want you to tell me about this training that you have

information about and whether this training is typical of other training from what you can gather, other training that other militia engage in. That's the first question. The second question is do you have information that any of the training that they were doing was illegal training?

MR. WATERSTREET: Well, if I can answer the first question -- the second question first, Your Honor. That is for the jury to decide whether it is illegal. Making the explosives, the Court asked that question earlier, yes, that is illegal unless you have a license. So it's our understanding none of the individuals involved in this case are licensed explosive -- explosives -- have a license to build explosives by ATF.

As to whether they were engaged in illegal activity, Your Honor, that is -- that's the issue. Did they conspire to oppose by force the authority of the United States?

And I submit if the Court listens to another recording that I intend to play, the Court will hear those plans to oppose the authority of the United States.

The training, is it illegal to possess a firearm? No. Is it illegal to possess a firearm when you're robbing a bank? Yes. So the question is -- for this Court to decide, is there probable cause to believe these people conspired to oppose the authority of the United States and whether they attempted to build a weapon of mass destruction? And I'm somewhat reminded that the -- back in law school when the instructor asked you well, is this illegal, is this illegal, is this illegal? And you're walking down the primrose path and you keep saying no, no, no, no and you get to the very end and well obviously, if you add all those things up together, yes it is a illegal act. Owning a gun is not a crime. Going into a bank is not a crime. Wearing a bandana over your face is not a crime. Handing a note to a teller is not a crime. Making a withdrawal from a bank without a threat is not a crime. But when you add all those things up, it sounds like a bank robbery if in that note it said, and the intent was

to rob a bank. So I'm somewhat struggling with the Court's request to say is the training itself illegal. As to --

THE COURT: (Interjecting) You're saying if they were engaged in training as part of their conspiracy to overthrow the Government, then that is an illegal act. It's an act in furtherance of their conspiracy?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WATERSTREET: As to what other militias do, Your Honor, it's my understanding that other militias have -- the Hutaree distinguished themselves from other militias because they engage in live fire training. They go out with guns loaded and they do live fire. They do live fire when they go on patrols, when they practice their patrols and that was the draw of some of the members from other militias to the Hutaree because of their training; that they actually engage in live fire training and that was also part of what other militia groups believed that the Hutaree were different from them because they did engage in that type of activity. So they are not your typical militia.

THE COURT: Okay, and one other question. You're reading from this transcript of remarks David Stone Sr. gave on January 9th. Is there mention there of killing law enforcement? If not there, can you tell me where that first appears?

MR. WATERSTREET: Where it first appears was long before law enforcement was involved in this case. This has been a longstanding belief of Mr. Stone that law enforcement is the enemy. I'm not sure I can put my finger right on the very first date it was mentioned and we have it recorded, but I do have a recording that I will be able to play to the Court that obviously precedes the April date because everybody was arrested before the April date, that talks about their plans and various

plans they have to kill law enforcement and I can play that if I can -- soon as I get through this, I'll be more than happy to do that.

THE COURT: Is law enforcement part of the January 9th conversation?

MR. WATERSTREET: Not as to this section that I have right now, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WATERSTREET: I believe I left off with the quote the only difference is we're going to use a van to do it, at which Josh Stone laughs and then David Stone says: Okay, but that's the idea. That's what we're setting up. That's what we're going to practice and we're going to practice it and practice it and practice it and practice it and that -- and it has to go off flawless. Once you get dropped, you can't be yapping. You've got to keep your mouth shut and then Josh Stone calls out one of the nicknames of Joshua Clough and everybody -- to which they laugh. David Stone then says: Keep quiet -- stay quiet. Keep talking -- talking to a minimum. Start to learn each other member of this team. If Kris -- and he's referring to Kristopher Sickles -- starts looking at something funny, Kris doesn't have to say I think there's something funny over there. Just by his actions you know something is wrong and take appropriate action for it, all right? That's the idea behind all of it. We're going to put us on the ground and make a real soldier out of everybody. Hopefully under peaceful conditions, but if it's not, then so be it. Then Josh Stone says: Welcome to your first real training and then Josh Stone says: I've got a question. David Stone says: Don't be yappin' yourselves on the radio. Josh Stone then asks: So ah what, let's say before dark we get seen rather we leave peacefully or kill them. Ah, we get on the radio and then we're going to get picked up? David Stone: You're going to get extracted. Okay.

Then there was a question asked: And then what if somebody were to get shot, what's the procedure for? And David Stone says: All bullets and casings will be wiped down before you go out and anything that's not wiped down, don't go in the field. If you put bullets in somebody and put them on the ground, the extraction team is on the way. Just leave. Don't stand around and talk about it.

Then a little bit later David Stone says: If that means you know that we're in a running fight and we're a half a mile from our original destination, so be it. You'll get picked up and you're gone. Learn to live with it. Putting bullets through bodies ain't an easy thing, but hey, do it a couple times, it don't bother you. Josh Stone then laughs and says I love that.

Later Josh Stone is speaking to another individual and says: As far as this training in April, don't be afraid to pull the trigger on anybody if we have to. That's like right now. The question is who would these people be though? Josh Stone says: I don't know, in which the person says all right and Josh Stone then says: I don't know and frankly I don't care. If they catch us, then we have to do our job. Welcome to the business of ah being in the business of shooting people. Now if we wind up plugging somebody, welcome to ah, ah, the first training of really shooting someone or maybe not shooting them, killing them with a knife or if they don't want to work with us. Frankly, I don't care. They can die by knife or gun. I don't care.

THE COURT: Mr. Waterstreet, the Government reads all that to mean that this wasn't really a training, but that they were euphemistically describing what they intended to turn into a real situation?

MR. WATERSTREET: They -- I believe it was part of the plan to go out, put themselves in a hostile situation. If somebody came across them, they would kill them.

THE COURT: All right, and you said earlier that they were one of the few or maybe the only -- I'm not sure what your word was -- militia that used live fire training. Do you mean that they -- in that training they actually went out and fired their guns and they didn't -- they used live rounds?

MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Was that part of all of the training?

MR. WATERSTREET: Well, there was some training that they did that they did not do live fire training. There was some they would practice going out on patrols, checking for trip wires, explosive recognition, things of that nature. Now I don't want to have the Court believe that other militias don't go out and use target practices and things like that, but this militia did distinguish itself that it engaged in live fire training as part of their patrol.

Your Honor, if I may, what I would like to do and I think it probably goes without saying is that the Court has reviewed the Indictment and the charges themselves, the Seditious Conspiracy, Attempted Use of Weapons of Mass Destruction, Teaching and Demonstrating Use of Explosive Materials, and Carrying/Using a firearm During a Crime of Violence, those are all crimes in which there is a presumption that there are no conditions or combination of conditions which will assure the Defendants' appearance or the safety of the community, and the Government has been able to establish it's probable cause to believe these listed offenses were committed because they -- a Grand Jury did return an Indictment. So therefore, as the Court -- I mentioned earlier is that the -- since there is a presumption, the Defendants have the burden of persuading the Court that there are conditions which will assure the safety of the community and the appearance of the Defendants.

I Also would like to proffer the Presentence Reports as to the issue of

dangerousness to every -- all of the Defendants were recommended to be detention based upon their dangerousness.

I wish to further proffer the transcript of the prior Detention Hearings, the audib clip from the Kentucky trip that the Court made reference to before and Government's Exhibit Five, the transcripts of that; the diagrams that were sent on how to make the explosives and the photograph of the weapon seized from Thomas Piatek.

I wish to also direct the Court to the post-arrest flight of Joshua Stone.

Your Honor, not all of the members of the Hutaree have been charged in this Indictment. This Indictment includes nine people. There's a list of more than 25 listed members of the Hutaree who have trained with them and agreed with their doctrine.

THE COURT: In addition to the nine who have been indicted?

MR. WATERSTREET: There's a -- actually there is approximately 25 more, yes, Your Honor. So there's two-thirds of the Hutaree members who are still out among the public who have -- lawfully have access to weapons and could -- they could access to help them to escape if they so desired.

Oftentimes I hear argued that well, my client doesn't have a passport so he can't flee. He has nowhere to go. America's Most Wanted is leaving proof that people need not flee the United States in order to hide, especially if they have sympathetic supporters and fellow members willing to help them and we saw this coming into play with what happened with Joshua Stone.

The arrest that took place on March 27th when several members of the Hutaree were arrested in a warehouse in Ann Arbor. One of the people who was not present was -- one of the charged people who was not present was Joshua Stone. One of the individuals who was at the arrest site in Ann Arbor contacted Josh Stone and advised Josh Stone that who had been arrested and that the law enforcement

was perhaps looking for Josh Stone.

There was a Protocol that was set out as part of the Hutaree training. There was a 10-step Protocol and as part of that 10-step Protocol was to assess the situation, contact members of the Hutaree, contact sympathetic members of other militias, seek help, go to rally points, reassess the situation and frankly, Your Honor, of the 10 steps, Joshua Stone completed nine of the 10 steps. The last step was entitled Point of No Return.

Joshua Stone, as has been reported, went to other militias seeking their help, seeking firearms. He called other members of the Hutaree. Those people are not indicted I think for -- I'm somewhat reluctant to mention their names because they are not a matter of a public record, but those people that he did contact and he was with were all listed members of the Hutaree. They went to one of the rally points as was set forth in the Protocol. So a total of six adults plus one infant sought the assistance of a sympathetic member. They went to one of the rally points and they were able to arm themselves with six firearms. There was a two-day stand-off and luckily it ended peacefully after a show of overwhelming force by law enforcement. The weapons were recovered. The ammunition was recovered from the site.

Your Honor, it's really hard to imagine another charge the Defendants could face from its onset that would establish by just that charge alone that they have no respect for the authority of the Court. By their charge, Seditious Conspiracy, their plan is to oppose the authority of the United States. I was trying to think of some other ones like contempt. Well, contempt at best is contempt towards one particular court, not for the entire system. Another one would -- perhaps escape. That's only seeking to avoid the authority for convicted conduct or soon to be convicted conduct but this is by their charge and the charge alone shows their lack of willingness to

abide to the authority of the Court.

THE COURT: You mean the fact that the Government has charged them shows that they disrespect the Court?

MR. WATERSTREET: That, and the fact that a Grand Jury has returned an Indictment finding probable cause to believe that these people were engaged in seditious conspiracy. Yes, Your Honor, and that is one of the factors the Court can consider; that the charges, the fact that it's an Indictment found on probable cause versus this is somebody who has just merely been brought in under an arrest.

I do want to play an audio clip from February 20th, 2010 and to set the stage, Your Honor, the people who are present are David Stone, who goes by the name of RD which is a rank, the top rank of the Hutaree, which is a shortened version of Radok, R-a-d-o-k. Also present is Joshua Stone, Josh Clough, referred to as Az, A-z, Michael Meeks, Kristopher Sickles and Jacob Ward. Two other people who were part of this training earlier that day but had left were David Stone Jr. and Tina Stone. And this again to make sure we have the timeline straight, this is February 20th, 2010. This is after the January 9th, 2010 training and where David Stone outlined the operational plan for April that I read to the Court earlier; that anything that is not wiped down, don't go into the field, putting bullets through some bodies ain't an easy thing but hey, you do it a couple times it don't bother you.

After this speech -- and this is also an event that took place after the speech in the van on February 6, 2010. This is two weeks after David Stone identifies that the enemy is the brotherhood working for the New World Order and present during that speech is David Stone, Joshua Stone, Joshua Clough, Michael Meeks and Thomas Piatek. And in this conversation on February 20th, training had finished and they were talking about suicide and suicide-by-cop which one referred to as cop-a-cide and

now the talk turned to killing law enforcement and taking on the Government. THE COURT: Mr. Waterstreet, just a couple of questions. You are offering this into evidence now? MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And do we have it marked? MR. WATERSTREET: Yes. I will -- I have it as Government's -- the tape itself is Government's Proposed Exhibit 1B for this -- I mean 1A is the tape and 1B is the transcript of that tape for the Detention Hearing dated April 27, 2010. THE COURT: And another question. Count Five of the Indictment, Mr. Waterstreet, has the date of February 20, 2010 in it. This is the Count that charges 10 11 seven of the Defendants with Carrying, Using and Possessing a Firearm During and in Relation to a Crime of Violence. 12 MR. WATERSTREET: Correct, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Is this tape to substantiate that Count or if it isn't, can you tell me -- because I haven't heard anything specifically about a firearm or a crime of 15 violence on February 20th. 16 17 MR. WATERSTREET: Well again, Your Honor, the Court has to make the determination whether the conspiracy, seditious conspiracy is considered a crim 18 of violence and the Government submits that is considered a crime of violence. 19 THE COURT: But tell me what -- because I don't want to guess at what 20 21 your intentions are. Tell me what the Government has in mind in charging Count Five 22 about conduct that happened on February 20th, 2010. MR. WATERSTREET: As I mentioned, Your Honor, this conversation 23 24 took place after the live fire training where all the various charged Defendants engaged in live fire training on February 20th, and that as I mentioned before, people 25

who were present earlier who engaged in it was --THE COURT: No, I've got the list. I've got the list. MR. WATERSTREET: And that, Your Honor, again this is not the entire recording of February 20th, 2010 but it is a little insight as to what their plans were and what their intent was as a result of this training. THE COURT: Okay. So in Count Five, Carrying, Using and Possessing a Firearm, that's the firearms they possessed during their training? MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: And they did this in relation to a crime of violence, the crime of violence being this conversation advocating seditious activity? 10 11 MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor, as well as the longstanding conspiracy and agreement amongst all of them. Also under the *Pinkerton* theory, 12 each person being held accountable for the acts of their co-conspirators, it would be 13 14 considered a crime of violence with acts of co-conspirators such as manufacturing 15 explosives, things of that nature. THE COURT: Such as what? 16 MR. WATERSTREET: Manufacturing explosives and things of that 17 18 nature. THE COURT: All right. And Count Four uses the date August 20th, 19 20 same charge. MR. WATERSTREET: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And --22 MR. WATERSTREET: And that is the photograph that was 23 24 Government's Exhibit One in the prior Detention Hearing, Your Honor, where it depicts all the individuals carrying the various firearms. 25

1	THE COURT: So that's a photo of them carrying firearms and the crime
2	of violence being the Conspiracy?
3	MR. WATERSTREET: Conspiracy and attempted use of weapons of
4	mass destruction.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, Counsel should all have copies of
7	this transcript, is that correct?
8	MR. THOMAS: Do you have an extra one?
9	MR. WATERSTREET: I do.
10	THE COURT: Can I have one?
11	MR. WATERSTREET: Yes. Here's the original, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, Mr. Waterstreet, since we have this
13	transcript but Mr. Swor is also challenging that the transcript is the same as the audio,
14	does Mrs. Coleman need to transcribe what she is hearing on this tape recording?
15	MR. SWOR: No, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: No? You speak for everyone?
17	MR. SWOR: I believe I speak for everyone. My objection yes.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	(Audio tape began at about 2:28 p.m ending at about 2:43 p.m.)
20	MR. WATERSTREET: Does the Court have specific questions
21	concerning any proffered materials?
22	THE COURT: I think I don't, Mr. Waterstreet. Thank you.
23	MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, if necessary, I have some rebuttal
24	depending upon what they may proffer. Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Thank you. So the Court does make this finding; that the

1	all of the crimes that are charged here trigger presumption in favor of detention and
2	therefore, the Defendants then have a burden to produce evidence that would rebut
3	the presumption. Mr. Swor.
4	MR. SWOR: Your Honor, one comment and then one request.
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	MR. SWOR: The first comment is the Government has asserted before
7	they started this last conversation that the burden on the Defendants is the burden of
8	persuasion.
9	THE COURT: I just asserted I think the correct burden which is a burden
10	to produce and that's what I know the law to be.
11	MR. SWOR: That's correct. I was going to ask the Court to make that
12	finding. Second of all, I need five minutes.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MR. SWOR: Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Okay, we'll take five.
16	(COURT RECESSED AT ABOUT 2:44 P. M.)
17	(At about 2:56 p.m.)
18	(Court, Counsel and parties present)
19	MR. SWOR: Your Honor, we were because Mr. Helfrick had
20	requested to bring in the Case Agent for cross-examination, we thought it might be
21	more expedient for Mr. Helfrick and I to switch positions on this particular session.
22	THE COURT: Okay, that's fine.
23	MR. HELFRICK: And, Your Honor, it's my understanding that the Case
24	Agent is available and we would like to I guess call him to the witness stand.
25	THE COURT: All right. Call him or call her?

MR. HELFRICK: I don't know. Whoever the Agent is. LESLIE LARSEN. Having been sworn under oath at about 2:57 p.m., testified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HELFRICK: Q. Good afternoon. Good afternoon. Α. Q. Could you state your name for the record please? Α. Leslie Larson. L-a-r-s-e-n. Q. Thank you. And I apologize; I wasn't told that the Case Agent was a man or a 10 11 woman quite frankly. I want to start with the arrest on March 27th and I represent David Stone, Jr. and so most of my questions will be about Junior, okay? 12 Α. Okay. 13 14 Q. And did you participate in the arrest on March 27th? Α. Yes, I was present. 15 Q. And the arrest took place as I understand it in Ann Arbor? 16 Yes. 17 Α. Q. At a warehouse? 18 Α. 19 Correct. Q. And at the time that Mr. Stone, Jr. was arrested or that this operation took 20 place, he did not attempt to flee, correct? 21 22 Α. No, he did not. And at the time of his arrest he did not have any weapons on his person, 23 Q. 24 correct? Not that I believe. 25 Α.

Q. Did you find any firearms or knives or anything on him? Α. I did not take him personally into custody, so --Q. But being the Case Agent, have you reviewed the reports relating to the arrest? Α. I have not had a chance to review all of the reports relating to his arrest. Q. Okay. And to the extent that you have, there's no report that you've seen that indicated he had any weapons, correct? Α. Nothing that I've reviewed to date. Q. And nor did he make my threats at the time of the arrest, did he? Α. Not that I recall. He didn't threaten any law enforcement officers that were there, correct? Q. Α. Not that I heard. Q. Now there was subsequent to that, as I understand it, a search of his apartment, correct? Α. Yes. Q. Did you participate in that? Α. No, I did not. Q. Have you reviewed any of the reports relating to the search of his apartment? Α. I have not had a chance to review everything. Q. Do you know that there were no firearms found at his apartment? Α. I have -- again, I haven't reviewed everything, but I do not believe that there were any firearms at Junior's apartment. Q. Or explosives? Α. Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

I haven't reviewed everything, so I'm not sure if there were those.

Or bomb-making materials of any kind?

1	Q.	You probably would have heard about it if there had been, correct?
2	A.	Correct.
3	Q.	Someone would probably have mentioned that to you?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And likewise, there were no drugs or alcohol or drug paraphernalia found at his
6	apart	tment, correct?
7	A.	Not that I'm aware of.
8	Q.	And you know he's 19 years old, correct?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	And he has no prior convictions?
11	A.	Correct.
12	Q.	I want to go back to the ATF investigation that took place in December of '08
13	relati	ng to this Federal firearms licensee, correct? And there have been reports that
14	this i	ndividual was approached about trying to break his son out of jail, correct?
15	A.	Yes.
16	Q.	And that did not involve Junior, correct?
17	A.	No, it did not.
18	Q.	He wasn't the person saying you want help breaking him out?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	In fact, there's no evidence he was even aware of this, correct?
21	A.	I can't answer that.
22	Q.	Let me ask you something just as a matter of the undercover agent, was he
23	basically wearing a wire or some sort of recording device whenever he met with these	
24	individuals?	
25		MR. WATERSTREET: Objection, Your Honor. I don't understand how

this shows a risk of flight or a danger to the community. This is clearly what the Government feared would be a discovery attempt to find out more about the techniques that were used by law enforcement in this investigation. Therefore, I would object to that.

MR. HELFRICK: May I respond?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. HELFRICK: The reason I'm asking this, Your Honor, is this. They have played a number of tapes obviously already and at the proffer in front of Magistrate Sheer, I had argued that there was no evidence that Junior had ever agreed to what was being talked about, that he had assented to these things, that he believed in these things and so I guess what I'm -- where I'm going with this is if there's any recordings of Junior voicing his assent to these ideas, these beliefs, these actions. That's why I want to know basically most -- were most of these meetings recorded or whatever and if so, is Junior ever on there saying yes, he too wants to kill police officers.

THE COURT: Well, that's a different question from whether the undercover agent was wearing a wire. I'll allow to you ask this question.

- Q. (By Mr. Helfrick continuing) Okay, I'll get to it. On June 13th of '09 there was a training event and according to the proffer, there were a couple of demonstrations of devices. One of the things that was set off as I understand it was one of these cardboard tubes with black powder in it, correct?
- A. Correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And it is also during the proffer it says that only one Junior was involved in was this carbon tube filled with black powder, correct? On that day?
- A. Yes.

Q. And as I understand it his involvement was to put I guess a vice grip to a battery or to a wire which detonated this particular device, correct? Α. Yes. Q. And he did that at the direction of his father, correct? Α. Yeah. Now as I understand it, there was also a demonstration of what's been called a Q. Bouncing Betty that day, correct? Α. Correct. Q. But it's also my understanding that this was not an actual Bouncing Betty, is that correct as well? Α. That's not my recollection. You have the recording or the recording will be entered into evidence. Q. All we have is the proffer at this point that there was a Bouncing Betty, but it's my understanding that this was a simulated Bouncing Betty, not a real Bouncing Betty. Do you know if that's true or not true? Α. I believe it was a real Bouncing Betty, but we would have to go back to the recording to verify that. Q. The proffer, it was stated that on August of '09, I believe August 15th and August 27th, that the undercover demonstrated an IED on both of those occasions that he had constructed, correct? Α. Correct. Q. And is it also true that Junior was not present on those occasions? I don't recall. Α. Q. On December 12th, '09, this is the wedding of David Stone, Sr. and his wife, okay? Now it's my understanding that at that time there was something someone

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

referred to as a list of people, Government officials or whatever that was presented to David Stone, Sr., correct? Α. Yes. Q. And I understand that Junior was present at the wedding. My question is was he present whenever this list was given or was talked about? I don't know. I wasn't there and I have not reviewed that. Α. Now today Mr. Waterstreet proffered that there is a Hutaree I guess website, Q. correct? Α. Correct. And is that website registered to Junior? Q. Α. No. Q. And I guess there's -- do they have anything besides the website? There's a Facebook page or something? Α. I'm not sure. Q. Okay. On this tape that they played here, this February 20th, 2010 tape that was played today, Junior was not present during that conversation, was he? Α. During which date? I'm sorry. Q. The tape that was played just now today, February 20th of 2010? Α. I'd have to go back to my notes to see if he was there that day. Q. If he was there, he would be listed on the transcript as being present though, correct? Α. If he didn't speak during it I'm not sure if he would be listed. I didn't write the transcript. Q. Okay. If Mr. Waterstreet said that he had left before that, would that -- would

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Waterstreet be telling the truth?

A.	I'm again, I'm not sure.
Q.	Okay. I'm going to go back to my other question is this. Based on all
whate	ver number of recordings that took place, whether it was every time or the
majori	ity of the time, is there any recording where Junior can be said to say that he
agree	s with any of this stuff, either killing police, you know, doing things against the
Gover	nment, anything like that?
A.	I haven't had a chance to review all of the transcripts or all of the hours of
record	ling, so I'm not sure if there's a statement in there by Junior.
Q.	But you certainly haven't heard it if it exists.
	MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, I believe she just answered the
questi	on. She hasn't had an opportunity to review them all and that she says she
doesn	't know and by asking the same question again
	THE COURT: Sustained.
	MR. HELFRICK: No further questions, Your Honor.
	THE COURT: Thank you.
	CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MI	R. SWOR:
Q.	Good afternoon, Agent.
A.	Good afternoon.
Q.	Agent, have you listened to the two recordings that the Government has
offere	d to the Court as in support of its detention motion?
A.	Not in their entirety.
Q.	Had you listened to the portion of the February 20th that was played today?
A.	Yes.
Q.	The recording we heard today I'm sorry the original recording that we

1	heard at the Detention Hearing said that in April April is going to consist of two		
2	trainings, correct?		
3	A.	Correct.	
4	Q.	It also said we're going to do a real op, correct?	
5	A.	Correct.	
6	Q.	And those were in consecutive sentences, correct?	
7	A.	I believe so.	
8	Q.	At no time did Mr. Stone explain that that wasn't inconsistent, did he?	
9	A.	That the training dates were not inconsistent?	
10	Q.	That we're going to get dropped in the ground and do a real op, April is going to	
11	consis	st of two trainings; there was never an explanation of what that meant, was	
12	there?		
13	A.	You listened to the recording	
14		MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, this is argumentative.	
15		MR. SWOR: If there was anything the Government did was argument	
16		THE COURT: (Interjecting) Excuse me. Overruled.	
17		MR. WATERSTREET: Okay.	
18	Q.	(By Mr. Swor continuing) After January, the Mr. Stone did nothing to acquire	
19	additional weapons, did he?		
20	A.	By weapons, you mean firearms?	
21	Q.	Firearms.	
22	A.	I'm not sure if he did buy any additional firearms or not.	
23	Q.	There's no evidence that he did, is there?	
24	A.	I don't have any evidence that he acquired any additional firearms.	
25	Q.	You are aware of the search of the house on Tomer?	

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	There were no explosives found at that house, correct?
3	A.	I have not reviewed all of the evidence from the Tomer Road location.
4	Q.	All right. Then you are not aware that there was any there were any
5	explos	sives found at the house, were there?
6	A.	I'm not aware if there are or are not at either.
7	Q.	And there were no automatic weapons found, correct?
8	A.	We have weapons. They have not been sent to the laboratory to determine
9	wheth	er or not they are fully automatic at this time.
10	Q.	So then as far as you know, all the weapons seized were legal weapons,
11	correc	et?
12	A.	No, I can't make that assumption.
13	Q.	You have no evidence to the contrary, correct?
14	A.	We have the weapons in the evidence room. They have not been sent to the
15	labora	atory for further examination.
16	Q.	In response to Mr. Helfrick's question about the August 22nd, 2009 and the
17	Augus	st 27th, 2009 explosion demonstrations.
18		MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, if I may, I think Counsel has it
19	wrong	. The question was August 15th, 2009 and August 27th, 2009.
20		MR. SWOR: Either way I stand corrected. That's fine.
21		MR. WATERSTREET: Okay.
22	Q.	(By Mr. Swor continuing) Are you aware of those?
23	A.	Yes.
24	Q.	And the person demonstrating and actually making the explosion was the
25	under	cover agent, wasn't it?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	As a matter of fact, the undercover agent was the only one who ever made a
3	explo	sive device, correct?
4	A.	No, not correct.
5	Q.	Mr. Stone did not make any explosive device, did he?
6	A.	I was not present for either of those trainings.
7	Q.	You've read the reports?
8	A.	I've read some of the reports.
9	Q.	You have read no report that said that Mr. Stone, Mr. David Brian Stone made
10	an ex	plosive device?
11	A.	On those specific days?
12	Q.	On any days.
13	A.	There have been reports, yes.
14	Q.	There have been reports. When?
15	A.	I don't have my notes. I'm sorry, I cannot
16	Q.	So some time
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Somebody said, is that what you're saying?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	Did you investigate Mr. Stone's employment history?
21	A.	I have not personally investigated his employment history.
22	Q.	We're told that Mr. Stone sent an email to the undercover agent having a
23	diagra	am and a photograph, correct?
24	A.	Correct.
25	Q.	That diagram was simply a reprint of something that came off of Wikipedia,
L		

correct?

- A. It appears that way.
- Q. The photograph similarly was an image taken off of the Internet, correct?
- A. Again it appears that way, yes.
- Q. At no time during this investigation or in your knowledge has Mr. Stone ever instructed anyone how to make a bomb, correct?
- A. Again, I haven't reviewed all of the tape that we have so he could have instructed that in some of the hours of recording that we have, so I can't fully answer that question.
- Q. How long have you been the Case Agent on this case?
- A. Approximately two years.
- Q. And during two years your job as Case Agent was to monitor the evidence that was being obtained, correct?
- 14 A. Yes. This was not my only investigation during this time period.
- Q. On February 6th we are told -- I'm sorry -- yeah, February 6th, Mr. Stone and some of the others attempted to go to a gathering in Kentucky, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.

10

- Q. And the manner in which they were going to this gathering in Kentucky was a van, correct?
- A. I wasn't there, but yes.
 - Q. The van was provided by the undercover agent, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Because none of the Defendants have sufficient transportation to be sure they'd get to Kentucky and back, correct?
- A. I haven't driven their vehicles, so I'm unaware of the condition of their vehicles.

1	Q.	Do you know what vehicles they have?
2	A.	I know some of the vehicles that they have.
3	Q.	Mr. Stone does not have, Mr. David Brian Stone does not have a vehicle by
4	which	he could transport five or six or seven people to a meeting in Kentucky and
5	back	, correct?
6	A.	No. He does have through his wife Tina, a Mercury Villager which is a minivan
7	that I	would think would seat six people. I haven't been inside the vehicle. I'm sorry.
8	I hav	en't had access to the vehicle, no.
9	Q.	But apparently they were better satisfied with the Agent's offer of his vehicle, is
10	that o	correct?
11		MR. WATERSTREET: I'm trying to find out
12		THE COURT: Sustained.
13	Q.	(By Mr. Swor continuing) The February 20th conversation, the February 20th
14	let m	e retract that, Your Honor. On the January 9th conversation, Mr. Stone said
15	we're	working on an objective, correct?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	He did not define an objective, correct?
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	And by March 27th, he still had not defined an objective, had he?
20	A.	Not to my knowledge.
21	Q.	There had been no training between February 27th and March February 20th
22	and N	March 27th for this "real op", had there been?
23	A.	I believe there was a training on March 20th, but again I wasn't present for it.
24	Q.	So you don't know what it consisted of?
25	A.	No, I'm sorry I don't.
L		

Q. Since you've been the Case Agent for two years, you can confirm that the "live" fire training" that took place was twice a year, correct? Α. No. I wasn't at any of the trainings, so I can't personally confirm that. Q. Can you confirm or deny that live fire training consisted of shooting weapons at a backstop at a private shooting range? Α. No, I'm sorry I can't confirm that. Q. Was your Agent present at any of those? Α. I'd have to go back to my notes to see what days the Agent was there for over that time period. Q. The Government tells us that there are 25 more members of the Hutaree who are not arrested or charged, correct? Α. Approximately. Q. And the Government knows who and where each of those people are, correct? Α. We know roughly who they are and where they're located. Q. The March training that you just spoke about consisted of going out in the field and testing radios, correct? Α. I was not present at the March training. Q. Did you receive a report as to what the March training consisted of? Α. No, I have not. Q. But you know it occurred? Α. I suspect that it occurred. Again, I wasn't there. Our undercover agent was not there.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Agent, you just testified a couple minutes ago that there was a March training

According to the Hutaree website I think that it listed the March training on

there, so I'd have to go back and review notes.

1	Q.	As future events?	
2	A.	Yeah, there's a ticker that goes across, but again I would have to go back and	
3	look	to see. I know that the undercover agent was not present for that, so I rely on	
4	him	for the reports.	
5	Q.	So you don't know whether there was a March training or not?	
6	A.	I was not present for that.	
7	Q.	The question was you don't know whether there was a March training or not?	
8		MR. WATERSTREET: It's been asked and answered three times, Your	
9	Hone	or.	
10		MR. SWOR: No, it has not, Your Honor.	
11		MR. WATERSTREET: I believe it has.	
12		THE COURT: What is the answer? Do you know if there was a training	
13	in Ma	in March?	
14		THE WITNESS: I do not know.	
15		THE COURT: You don't know. Thank you.	
16	Q.	(By Mr. Swor continuing) The January 9th conversation said that there are	
17	goin	g to be two trainings in April, correct?	
18	A.	Yes.	
19	Q.	And one was going to be the second Saturday of April and one was the fourth	
20	Satu	rday of April?	
21	A.	Correct.	
22	Q.	Correct? Now you know, do you not, that on the second Saturday of April Mr.	
23	Davi	d Stone was supposed to be at a shooting, the Knob Creek Shooting Exhibition in	
24	Kent	ucky, don't you?	
25	A.	I'm sorry. I don't know that, no.	
L			

Q.	Do you know that on April 17th Mr. Stone was going to be training for work for
his jo	bb?
A.	No.
Q.	And there were no preparations taken for any kind of operation in April, were
there	9?
A.	I don't know if there were or not.
Q.	There were no meetings, correct?
A.	In April?
Q.	In March. In April they were all in custody.
A.	I don't know if there were meetings or trainings in March.
Q.	Well, if there had been and wait a minute. The Government has said that the
Gove	ernment believes that there was an impending operation, a real op that was going
to ta	ke place in April and that it posed a threat to the community, correct?
A.	Correct.
Q.	You're telling me that your agency did nothing to monitor these people between
Febr	uary 20th when this conversation was recorded and March 27th when they were
arres	sted?
A.	I believe the undercover was in contact with Mr. Stone in that time period, but
agaiı	n I don't have my notes so I can't refer to how many contacts the undercover had
durir	ng the time period.
Q.	Have you been everybody's computers were seized during the execution of
the S	Search Warrant, correct?
A.	Correct.
Q.	Mr. Stone's has been gone through thoroughly, correct?
A.	I'm not aware of the computer exam results.

4	Q. So then you do not know that no names of any targets were found in his
2	computer, correct?
3	A. The computers I don't have the results of the computer examinations. There
4	were several computers seized, lots of CDs, those types of things. They have not all
5	been processed yet.
6	Q. There were no specifics found of his computer of any plan to do anything,
7	correct?
8	MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, I believe the Agents twice testified
9	that she is not aware of the results and if he continues to keep asking questions about
10	what was found in the computer, I imagine the answer will be the same, that she is
11	not aware of the results.
12	MR. SWOR: Well, Your Honor
13	THE COURT: The last question was you don't know of any plans to do
14	anything. What timeframe are you talking about, Mr. Swor?
15	MR. SWOR: At any time, especially on April of 2010.
16	MR. WATERSTREET: In the computer or in general? I'm not sure I
17	understood the question then.
18	THE COURT: Please rephrase, Mr. Swor.
19	MR. SWOR: Thank you, Your Honor.
20	Q. You are not aware of any result thank you, Your Honor. Let me rephrase it
21	again. No plan, whether on computer or on paper or on clay tablet has been found of
22	any plan to attack any specific person or target, correct?
23	A. I am not aware. I have not reviewed all of the documents and again have not
24	reviewed all of the recordings.
25	Q. We have heard from the Government a claim that there was a trip wire

demonstration at one of the training sessions. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. In fact, the trip wire demonstration was to show how the trip wire could be used for defensive alert, correct? Α. Correct. Now we're told that there are 25 Hutaree that were not arrested or taken into Q. custody when these eight and then nine were -- or seven and then eight were taken into custody, correct? I sorry. Let me do the sentence so it's a whole question. The remaining members of the Hutaree did not, when we these seven people were first arrested, take up arms, did they? Α. Did any other than --Q. The remaining 25 Hutaree that we're told are out there, they did not take up arms and attempt to free any of these people? Α. Not to my knowledge. Q. By the way, do you know how many times these folks trained over the winter? Α. I'd have to go back to my notes. Q. How about zero? Do you know? Α. Again, I'd have to go back to my notes. Are you aware that they didn't train in the summer since you've been Q. monitoring them for two years because there's bugs and mosquitos out in the woods? A. I am aware of training in the summer. I don't have the exact --THE COURT: (Interjecting) Excuse, me. Let her finish her answer. Q. I'm sorry. Yes, Your Honor. Α. I don't have the exact dates, but I'm aware that they do train in the

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Summertime.

1	Q.	But not out in the woods?	
2	A.	Yes, I believe out in the woods.	
3	Q.	Do you know how long Mr. Stone has lived at his present address?	
4	A.	No, I don't know the exact number of years he's lived there.	
5	Q.	Do you know that he has no other home, correct?	
6	A.	I believe that he has no other home.	
7	Q.	And he has not traveled outside of the state of Michigan, correct? Other than	
8	go to	Kentucky and back or actually Indiana and back?	
9	A.	I know that he goes to Kentucky and he leaves the state that way, but I don't	
10	know	the exact times. I'm not following Mr. Stone.	
11	Q.	Nothing further, Your Honor. Excuse me one second. Agent, the undercover	
12	agent was supposed to go to Knob Creek with on April 10th with Mr. Stone or at		
13	least	was supposed to meet him there, wasn't he?	
14	A.	I believe there might have been a conversation about that, but I don't have the	
15	speci	fics on that.	
16	Q.	Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.	
17		THE COURT: Thank you.	
18		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
19	BY M	R. THOMAS:	
20	Q.	Agent Larsen, my name is Jim Thomas and I represent Joshua Stone. We've	
21	not m	net, have we?	
22	A.	A previous trial I think.	
23	Q.	You've been a Case Agent on this case for two years or you've been a Federa	
24	Agen	t for two years?	
25	A.	On this case for two years.	
L			

1	Q.	Obviously you're aware of who Joshua Stone is?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	You know that he's 21 years old?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	You know that he's recently married?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	You know that he has no criminal record?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	He's not been in any trouble of any sort?
10	A.	No.
11	Q.	Now do you know whether or not do you have any background information
12	relatin	ng to his education?
13	A.	I do not, no.
14	Q.	Did you ever know in your course of being the Case Agent in this case that he
15	was h	ome-schooled?
16	A.	I do have knowledge he was home-schooled, yes.
17	Q.	And that and that he has seasonal employment?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	You knew that he had worked on a sheep farm?
20	A.	I knew that he worked in the area for some farmers, yes, as part of his
21	seaso	onal employment.
22	Q.	And that that season was Springtime through the Summer into the close of the
23	Fall?	
24	A.	I'm not sure of the exact timeframe that he worked.
25	Q.	But he was employed, you knew that?
25	Q.	But he was employed, you knew that?

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	And now you know in the course of your investigation that he had lived on his
3	grand	dfather's farm?
4	A.	Yes, I believe Ray Stone owns that property.
5	Q.	And then his father lived on a home of some sort on that farm?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	And that Josh lived with his father even after he was married?
8	A.	Yes, that's my understanding.
9	Q.	Were you aware of his plans through your being in the investigation that since
10	he wa	as married he was going to be moving out of the house?
11	A.	Not prior to the arrest I was not aware of that.
12	Q.	We all heard the tape. You heard the tape. As an agent, did you analyze that
13	tape	Were you involved in the analysis of that tape?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	But you heard the tenor of the tape, did you not?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	This wasn't like an FBI briefing for execution of a search warrant, was it?
18	A.	Meaning that it wasn't
19	Q.	Meaning sincerity, meaning seriousness. Meaning I guess I'm doing a
20	comp	oound question when I start doing that, but let's just talk about seriousness. Did
21	you h	near a lot of laughing there?
22	A.	I did hear laughing.
23	Q.	Did you hear a lot of joking on the tape?
24	A.	Some.
25	Q.	I mean somebody is talking about interdicting a car and all of a sudden my

client is talking about strippers. Do you remember hearing that? A. I'm not certain if that was Josh Stone or who was talking about the strippers. Q. Somebody brought up strippers? Α. Yes. Q. People were laughing? Α. Right. Q. People were making noises like, sounding noises like a six-year old would do when he's watching a cartoon. Α. That's your interpretation. Would you interpret it to be a sense of immaturity about what it was that was Q. being discussed? Α. I interpreted that they were talking about killing police officers, so I don't think you can joke around about that. Q. So you think that a discussion about killing a police officer without any action whatsoever to be taken is something that is not allowed? Should not be allowed? Α. Well, I think with that conversation compounded with the rest of the conversations and actions surrounding these subjects it has to be taken into consideration that they may have been planning to do something. Q. May have been, and so now I want to talk to you a little bit about the sense of maturity about it though. When you hear somebody making a crashing sound or a kaboom or laughing and joking almost like young children, like teenagers, that that means to you that there's a sense of purpose about what it is that they're going to dd? MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, I believe this is argumentative and the question is are they a risk of flight or danger to community, not her interpretation

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or Counsel's interpretation.

	THE COURT: Well, Mr. Waterstreet, there is a major issue here as to
whet	her these Defendants present a danger to the community. That's the only reaso
Preti	rial Services is recommending against their bond.
	MR. WATERSTREET: I understand that.
	THE COURT: So your objection is overruled.
	MR. WATERSTREET: If I may, Your Honor? I understand the Court's
rulin	g, but I believe this is argumentative. If Counsel wishes to make this argument t
the C	Court that it's immature and things of that nature, the question to the Agent is the
facts	. Was the recording made? Does it accurately record the events that took
place	e?
	THE COURT: Overruled. I think this case is a lot of this case is goin
to be	about the spoken word and either the spoken word to conspire to commit illega
acts	or the spoken word to establish a motive or intention, and so these are
appr	opriate questions. Overruled.
Q.	(By Mr. Thomas continuing) You heard conversations about Chinese troops?
A.	Yes.
Q.	Burning a United Nations flag?
A.	Yes.
Q.	We don't have Chinese troops in the United States, do we?
A.	Not that I'm aware of.
Q.	And burning the United Nations flag is not a crime, is it?
A.	No.
Q.	In fact, burning a United States flag is not a crime?
A.	No.
Q.	It could be construed as speech, could it not?

Α. It could be. Q. You're familiar with the term hyperbole? Α. Yes. Q. Did you read the transcript of the prior Detention Hearing before you came in here today? Α. No. You were not in court at that time. I don't remember seeing you there. Were Q. you there? Α. No, I'm sorry. I just got called in at the last minute to be over here. Q. They needed a body and they put it in on the witness stand and here you are. Α. Here I am. Q. Under oath? Α. Correct. Q. Well, I won't take too much more time, but I want to get into the area about reality versus fantasy. There seemed to have been a little bit of fantasy there, would you agree, talking about Chinese troops? Α. I think that there was some fantasy there. Q. And certainly when they're talking about strippers in a car, they were talking about fantasy. These guys were on a farm in Adrian for God sakes. Α. I would think anyone could buy, rent, pay for a fee for strippers if they needed that entertainment. Q. But not in the context of an operation, would you agree? Α. They're not law enforcement. You know, they don't train like law enforcement

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

But you got a sense for the level of maturity in those conversations, did you

so their tactics are going to differ drastically from our tactics.

1	not?		
2	A.	It's only an example of one conversation.	
3	Q.	Let's talk about whether or not you thought that everybody seemed to be	
4	talkin	g at the same time. Did you get that sense when you were hearing what was	
5	being)	
6	A.	There was overlap in conversation.	
7	Q.	Some were talking about one thing and others we're talking about another.	
8	They	weren't exactly contiguous.	
9	A.	I wasn't there, but they don't appear to be contiguous, no.	
10	Q.	And in a room and you've probably been in a room where that type of a	
11	conv	ersation takes place. You embrace certain parts of the conversation and adopt	it
12	and y	ou don't. Sometimes you adopt everything that's being said, but sometimes no	t.
13	Woul	d you agree?	
14	A.	Correct.	
15	Q.	Now were you involved in the pre-arrest conduct of Mr. Stone, Joshua Stone?	
16	A.	Pre-arrest conduct?	
17	Q.	He apparently was on a friend's farm in Hillsdale. Were you out there?	
18	A.	I was out there for some of that.	
19	Q.	What time did the FBI get out there?	
20	A.	It would	
21	Q.	Maybe I should do it better.	
22	A.	I don't know the exact time.	
23	Q.	Let me do it better. How long before he actually came first of all, did he	
24	come	e out and surrender?	
25	A.	Not right away.	
L			

Q. But did he? Α. Eventually. Q. Without a weapon? Α. He did not have a weapon on his person. Q. So what was the timeframe? The FBI came out and then he came out and surrendered? I think -- again, I wasn't out there for the entire time, but I think it was Α. approximately a day, day and a half. Q. Did the FBI do anything to announce their presence? Α. Again, I wasn't out there for the whole time, but I do believe that our 10 11 negotiators attempted to make contact with the six individuals and the baby that were out there. I don't know the exact time that they attempted to make that contact. 12 But an attempt to make contact is different than actually letting the people 13 Q. 14 know you're out there, and my question goes to when did -- if you know -- when did 15 David Stone -- I'm sorry -- Joshua Stone know that you were out there? If you can answer the question. 16 17 Α. I don't know. I know that they made phone call attempts. They used loud speakers. They drove vehicles up and back to announce presence, but I don't know 18 19 the exact timeframe on when all of those things were done. Q. 20 Were you there when that occurred? Α. No, I was not. 21 Q. And so that's a big farm, was it not? 22 Α. Several acres, yeah. Again, I don't know how many acres that was. 23 24 Q. Obviously somebody had come to the conclusion that Mr. Stone and others 25 were on the premises at some point and time?

Α. Yes. Q. Do you know whether or not at the time that the FBI was doing the various actions that you were discussing that you didn't witness whether or not Mr. Stone was there on the premises at the time? Α. I don't know the answer to that. I'm sorry. I mean it's entirely possible, is it not, that he surrendered promptly upon being Q. notified of the fact that there were people there to arrest him? Α. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether or not there was a television set in the trailer that was dn the property? Α. I don't know. I haven't seen the pictures of the trailer. Q. There was an issue about post-arrest, meaning the arrest of the other Hutare members and Mr. Joshua Stone's flight. He went to a farm where he knew the people. Α. Right. Q. Right? Α. Right. And he was there with other friends, his wife and two friends and a child? Q. Α. His wife -- there were six total and a baby. Q. And to your knowledge was there ever any announcement that there was a Warrant out for his arrest? I don't know. I wasn't out there for that. Α. So if you say -- as you sit here now you don't know of any announcement that Q.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

there was a Warrant out for his arrest?

I don't know what the exact phrase was that was announced over the loud

speaker.

- Q. What about publication? Did the FBI publicize the fact that Mr. Joshua Stone was wanted or that there was a Warrant out for his arrest by the media, by TV or newspapers in Hillsdale?
- A. I think that there was notification put out by the news outlets, but I'm not certain exactly what the phrase was that was put out there.
- Q. We know that there was publication and that there was publication that there had been arrests of the Hutaree members because that came out pretty quickly.
- A. Right.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

23

- Q. But I want to get to the specific of Joshua Stone and whether or not there's ary notice that he was a member and that they were looking for him in the media.
- A. Right. I don't know when the news media first put out the timeframe that we were looking for Josh.
- Q. And of course if it had occurred, there still is a question as to whether or not he saw it?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. And meaning Joshua Stone?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. And was he questioned after he was arrested?
- A. He was questioned.
- Q. Did anybody ask him whether or not he knew that there was a Warrant out for his arrest?
 - A. I don't know. I would have to go back to his 302 to look at that.
 - Q. You reviewed that before you came today?
- A. No, I'm sorry I did not.

1	Q.	You've reviewed it you said you would have to review it, but you haven't seen
2	it?	
3	A.	I would have to go back and review it, I'm sorry.
4	Q.	Judge, can I have a moment?
5		THE COURT: Yes.
6		MR. THOMAS: Judge, I have nothing further.
7		THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rataj.
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY	MR. RATAJ:
10	Q.	Thank you, Your Honor. I'll try to be brief and I'll try not to be repetitive. Agent
11	it	's Larsen, correct?
12	A.	Correct.
13	Q.	You and I have never met before?
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	And my name is Mike Rataj. I represent Tina Mae Stone. Just a couple quick
16	que	estions, okay ma'am?
17	A.	Sure.
18	Q.	Have you seen this that I've referred to it as the team's photo, Government
19	Exl	nibit Number One? Have you seen that photograph before?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	And you would agree with me that there's members, Hutaree members that are
22	pho	otographed in this picture that are not sitting here as defendants in this courtroom?
23	Do	you agree with that?
24	A.	Correct.
25	Q.	Would you agree with me, ma'am, that it is not illegal to be a member of

1	Hutaree? You agree with that?	
2	A.	Correct, yes.
3	Q.	They have every right, these people in this room have every right to be
4	mer	nbers of Hutaree, correct?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	And you would also agree with me, would you not, that they have the right to all
7	get	together and have their photograph taken, correct?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	And you would also agree with me there's nothing illegal about wearing
10	cam	ouflage for purposes of this photograph, correct?
11	A.	Correct.
12	Q.	And even carrying a gun for purposes of this picture or posing with a gun?
13	A.	Correct.
14	Q.	Assuming that the guns were all legal, correct?
15	A.	Correct.
16	Q.	And do you have any information to tell Judge Roberts that any of the guns in
17	this	picture were illegal?
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	Thank you. Now you were present at the at this phony funeral or whatever it
20	was	, this arrest in Ann Arbor on the 27th of March correct?
21	A.	Yes.
22	Q.	Did you have contact with Tina Mae Stone on that day?
23	A.	Yes, I did.
24	Q.	And would you agree with me that at no time after she was arrested or prior to
25	beir	g arrested that she attempted to flee? You agree?

Α. I agree. Q. And you would also agree that she came to this phony funeral unarmed, correct? She wasn't packing any heat? A. Not on her person. Q. She didn't have a gun tucked in her waistband or on her person anywhere, correct? No. There were guns in the vehicle that she traveled there with. Α. Q. But she didn't travel in that vehicle now by herself, did she? Α. Correct. Thank you. And also after you -- after she was arrested, she didn't say to you Q. or to any other agent I'm going to kill all you people for arresting me because I didn't do anything wrong? Α. No, she did not. Now there was a Search Warrant executed at the -- at David Stone's Q. residence, correct? Α. Correct. And you're aware of the fact that Tina Mae Stone became David Stone's wife Q. on December 12th of 2009, correct? Α. Correct. Q. And we've been using that date, December 12th, 2009, as a "meeting date", correct? Α. Correct. Q. But ma'am, isn't it a fact that that's the date that these two people got married that it was a wedding?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Same day, yes.

Q.	So it's your position, the Government's position that this wedding was	
somel	how a ruse for some kind of clandestine meeting to figure out a way to kill a	
cop?	Is that your testimony?	
A.	No. There was a wedding and the undercover was present for the wedding.	
Q.	As a matter of fact, the undercover was actually the witness for Mr. & Mrs.	
Stone	, correct?	
	MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor	
	THE COURT: Sustained.	
	MR. RATAJ: I'll move on, Judge.	
Q.	When the Search Warrant was executed at the Stone residence, you didn't fir	d
any di	rugs or drug paraphernalia that you could attribute to Tina Mae Stone, correct?	
A.	I have not gone through all the evidence at Tomer, but I have not found	
anythi	ing.	
Q.	As you sit here today, you cannot tell Judge Roberts that my client possesse	t
illegal	drugs, correct?	
A.	Correct.	
Q.	Or illegal drug paraphernalia, correct?	
A.	Correct.	
Q.	Are you aware of the fact that Tina Mae Stone has no prior convictions,	
ma'an	n?	
A.	Yes.	
Q.	Are you aware of the fact that she's a mother of three adult children?	
A.	Yes.	
Q.	Are you aware of the fact that she's a grandmother?	
A.	Yes.	

Q. Are you aware of her employment history? Α. No, I'm not. Q. We've heard a lot of testimony or we've heard the tapes. For the record, the Government at the first Detention Hearing in front of Magistrate Judge Sheer played a conversation that took place on January 9, 2010, correct? Α. Correct. You would agree with me that Tina Mae Stone was not present during this Q. recording, correct? Α. I have to go back and see if she was present for that day or not. I don't -- I don't believe that she --Q. This was the trip down to Kentucky? Α. The February 6th? Q. January 9, 2010. Α. There was no trip to Kentucky on January 9th. Q. I'm sorry? Α. I don't believe there was a trip to Kentucky on January 9th. Q. How about February 6? Α. Yes, February 6th. Q. Was my client there for that? Α. My understanding is she was there in the morning at the trailer, but was not inside the van. That was the date that Mr. Stone -- and we've all heard it all over the news Q. media -- Mr. Stone read his "manifesto", correct?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Yes.

She wasn't in the van, was she?

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Thank you. Then we heard today Mr. Waterstreet played a tape dated
3	Febru	uary 20, 2010, correct?
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	And you would agree with me that Tina Mae Stone was not present during that
6	conve	ersation?
7	A.	I don't know if she was on the property, but I do not believe that she was there
8	to he	ar that conversation.
9	Q.	That's what I want to know, ma'am. Was she there to hear the conversation?
10	A.	I don't believe so.
11	Q.	Thank you.
12		THE COURT: What date are you asking about?
13		MR. RATAJ: That would be February 20, 2010, Your Honor.
14		THE COURT: Thank you.
15	Q.	I do not suggest we've heard a lot about training dates during these
16	deter	ntion hearings. You with me?
17	A.	Right.
18	Q.	You would agree with me that there's nothing illegal about getting together and
19	traini	ng in the woods in camouflage with guns, is there?
20	A.	No.
21	Q.	As long as the guns are legal?
22	A.	And they can legally possess them.
23	Q.	That's correct. Right?
24	A.	Right.
25	Q.	Now there is a website that's been referred to, correct? A Hutaree website?
L		

1	A	۹.	Yes.	
2	(Q.	And you've looked at that website?	
3	A	۹.	I have.	
4	(Q.	You would agree with me that the Hutaree message is posted on the website,	
5	C	correc	et?	
6	A	۹.	There are messages posted on the website.	
7	(Q.	That's right, and it's for all the world to see. They're not hiding anything.	
8	٧	Would	d you agree with that?	
9	A	۹.	I don't believe there are any I'm not sure if there are private sections of the	
10	٧	websi	te or not.	
11	(Q.	Well, let's talk about postings on message boards. People can get on the	
12	H	Hutare	ee website, can they not, and either agree or disagree with the message?	
13	A	۹.	I believe so.	
14	(Q.	Now obviously there were weapons that were confiscated pursuant to the	
15	5	Searc	h Warrant at the Stone residence, correct?	
16	A	۹.	Correct.	
17	(Q.	Are any of those weapons attributable to Tina Mae Stone illegal?	
18	A	۹.	We haven't run finished running the tests on all of those guns.	
19	(Q.	Do you have any information today to tell Judge Roberts that my client, Tina	
20	N	Mae S	Stone, possessed illegal weapons?	
21	A	۹.	We are still running all the tests.	
22	(Q.	My question is quite simple. Sitting here today can you tell Judge Roberts that	t
23	r	my cli	ent, Tina Mae Stone, possessed illegal weapons?	
24			MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, I believe the question has been	
25	a	answe	ered.	

THE COURT: I didn't hear it. It's a simple question. Based on the information that you have today, do you have any information that she possessed illegal weapons? THE WITNESS: I don't. The guns are being tested for latent fingerprints so -- and they're being tested for their legality as far as if they were modified, not modified. So we do not have the results of the -- from the laboratory back on the guns. So she could have or could not have been in contact with guns that were illegal from the search. We just don't have the results back yet. Q. (By Mr. Rataj continuing) Then I take it that your answer is no, you don't have any information, correct? Α. Not at this time. All right. Are you in possession, Agent, Special Agent Larsen of any Q. recordings where Tina Mae Stone has expressed a desire to kill a police officer? Α. Again, I haven't listened to all of the recordings, so I don't know specifically if she has made statements like that. Q. But as you sit here today, you can't point to one for Judge Roberts, can you? Α. Not right now, no. Q. Thank you. One second, Your Honor, if I may. Your Honor, I have no further questions. Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. THOMAS: Judge, could we approach please? THE COURT: Okay. This side please. (Sidebar conference as follows) MR. THOMAS: Judge, the Marshals have asked me about timing and

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have canvassed the other lawyers and some will be asking questions, some longer

1	than others. I thought that as a courtesy to them I'd make the same query. Do you
2	want to continue now and then finish this up or do you want to break and then do it
3	tomorrow? Because Mr. Scharg and I don't have court tomorrow.
4	THE COURT: Do we have to break?
5	MR. THOMAS: No. It's only the Marshals' request; that's the only
6	reason why I brought it up.
7	THE COURT: The Marshals are requesting that we take a break now?
8	MR. THOMAS: At some point.
9	MARSHAL REED: Marshall Pat Reed.
10	THE COURT: When do we have to stop?
11	MARSHAL REED: You can go as long as you want to go, Judge.
12	MR. THOMAS: I was hearing from another voice.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Inside your head?
14	MR. THOMAS: I was talking about strippers and China.
15	MR. WEISS: Judge, I'm advised from the parking lot attendant that he
16	leaves about five o'clock. That's where my car is. If we're going past five, can I just
17	run out, pay my bill and run back?
18	THE COURT: We're not going to go past five and if we don't finish
19	today, we'll talk about a time tomorrow to come back.
20	(END OF SIDEBAR CONFERENCE)
21	THE COURT: Mr. Roberts.
22	MR. ROBERTS: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. ROBERTS:
25	Q. As part of your involvement for the couple of years that you've been

investigating this activity, you have no doubt viewed, visited the website on more than one occasion? I have. Α. Q. Now it's my understanding and correct me if I'm wrong -- I represent Joshua Clough by the way -- my understanding is that there's a logo or a phrase at the bottom of the website that appears on the website all the time and the phrase, as I understand it, is Hutaree have and are willing and ready, are you or something to that effect? Α. I think yes, something to that effect. Q. That's on the bottom of that website all the time, correct? Α. I think so. Q. You've told us earlier in one of the other lawyers' question, your answer was that you were present at the feigned memorial service where the arrest of most of these individuals occurred, correct? Α. I was there, yes. Q. My client, Mr. Clough was present at that feigned memorial service, correct? He was. Α. Q. He did not attempt to flee, did he? Α. He did not. He did not have a firearm on his person or any other weapon on his person at Q. the time of his arrest, did he? No. Α. We heard earlier questions about an offer made by some individuals to help Q. the FFL break his recently arrested son out of custody. Do you know what I'm talking

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about?

1	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Mr. Clough was not part of that conversation, was he?
3	A.	Not to my knowledge, no.
4	Q.	You had an opportunity presumably, again correct me if I'm wrong, to engage
5	in d	ebriefings of your undercover agent as these training sessions occurred and as
6	his	participation occurred, correct?
7	A.	Most of them, yes.
8	Q.	You're not aware of Joshua Clough detonating any explosives, are you?
9	A.	I am not aware, no.
10	Q.	You're aware that Mr. Clough has no prior criminal convictions?
11	A.	Correct.
12	Q.	As a matter of fact, you're not aware of any assault that he's committed against
13	a h	uman being, are you?
14	A.	I am not aware of that, no.
15	Q.	Is it also correct that in a vast majority of these training days or training
16	ses	sions Mr. Clough operated the video camera that was recording these events?
17	A.	My understanding is he videotaped some of the trainings, but I'm not sure if he
18	did	all of them.
19	Q.	Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you.
20		THE COURT: Thank you.
21		CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY	MR. SATAWA:
23	Q.	Good afternoon, Agent Larsen.
24	A.	Good afternoon.
25	Q.	My name is Mark Satawa. I represent Michael Meeks. We've never met

1	k	oefore	e, have we?
2	,	۹.	No.
3	(Q.	Agent Larsen, you testified that you are the Case Agent in this case, is that
4	r	right?	
5	,	۹.	Correct.
6	(Q.	The individual in charge of this investigation, the FBI Agent in charge of this
7	i	nvesti	igation?
8	,	۹.	Yes.
9	(Q .	And have been so for two years?
10	,	۹.	Yes.
11	(Q .	And in fact, is that the length of the investigation into these Defendants?
12			MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, what is
13			MR. SATAWA: (Interjecting) I'll ask the question this way then.
14	(Q.	Has there ever been a Case Agent in charge of this investigation to your
15	ŀ	knowle	edge other than you?
16	,	۹.	There's a team of Agents that work on this investigation. I'm just one of the
17	t	eam,	but I'm the team leader, if that makes sense.
18	(Q.	Okay. Have you been the leader during the entire investigation?
19	,	۹.	Yes.
20	(Q.	And could you tell us approximately what percentage of time during this
21	i	nvesti	igation you've had an Undercover involved in meeting with these individuals or
22	á	at leas	st my client?
23			MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor
24			THE COURT: Sustained.
25	(Q.	(By Mr. Satawa continuing) I believe that Mr. Roberts just asked you who was

the Agent at the FBI directing the actions of the Undercover. Was it you? MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, objection. What is the relevance of who was the controlling agent for the FBI as to determination of whether they're a risk of flight or danger to the community? MR. SATAWA: Well, Your Honor, this individual doesn't seem to have a real memory of just about anything she's asked that would possibly even help any of the Defendants, so I'm just curious as to -- in fact, her own words were I was called ih at the last minute because we needed -- they needed a body so here I am. So my question to her is in response to many of the questions she's been asked, she has said I'm not aware of that, I don't have knowledge of it, I don't have my notes, I 10 11 haven't reviewed that, I don't know. My question to her is designed as an offer of proof to elicit whether or not there's anyone that would know or in fact is she the 12 person best -- in the best position to give us that information. 13 14 MR. WATERSTREET: She's here with their request, Your Honor. That's 15 the witness they sought to have come here. She found out just a few hours before she was to appear of the Court's Order. 16 17 THE COURT: No. Well, if she just found out, that's the Government's problem and not ours or not mine and not the Defendants because I did tell you, was 18 19 it Thursday or Friday? MR. SATAWA: Thursday, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Thursday to be prepared with an Agent to testify. 21 MR. WATERSTREET: I apologize, Judge. I was not here for that and 22 personally found out --23 24 THE COURT: (Interjecting) Mr. Tukel was here. MR. WATERSTREET: I personally found out about the Order this 25

morning and immediately called. THE COURT: I find that very hard to believe. Mr. Tukel, you heard this Court tell you to have an Agent here on Monday to testify. MR. TUKEL: You did, Your Honor, and then we filed authorities and we weren't sure that this proceeding was going forward because there were some procedure issues that we raised. THE COURT: Mr. Tukel, I think you know the rules not only that prevail in this court, but in other courts. Once a Court enters an Order, unless there's some kind of stay of that Order, proceedings are going to move forward as that Order suggests. I asked for that authority. I asked the Defendants to respond to it, but at no 10 11 time did I tell you to put a halt on having an Agent here to testify. MR. TUKEL: I did not put a halt on anything, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Well, you didn't take steps to move this proceeding 13 14 forward in a meaningful way by having an Agent here with knowledge and I share the 15 frustration of the Defense team with all of the responses that are coming from this witness that she doesn't know anything. 16 17 MR. TUKEL: To the extent that I'm responsible for that, I apologize. THE COURT: So Mr. Waterstreet, your objection is overruled and you 18 19 can answer the question. MR. SATAWA: Thank you, Your Honor. I think we'd all like an answer to 20 the question. 21 THE WITNESS: Can you ask it again please? 22 Q. Sure. Is there someone that you're aware of that would have more answers dr 23 24 better answers than the ones you've been giving?

The only other person would be the undercover agent.

A.

ļ		I
1	Q.	You were the one directing that undercover agent?
2	A.	Not all of the time.
3	Q.	You were in charge of the team investigating these Defendants?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	And the undercover agent was a part of the team investigating these
6	Defe	endants?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	Your investigation of my client and the other Defendants went on for about two
9	year	s, according to your testimony. I'm just curious. During that two-year period, is
10	the F	BI or yourself at least aware of any actual crimes any actual incidents of
11	viole	nce perpetrated against any police officers?
12	A.	Pertaining to the nine Defendants?
13	Q.	Yes.
14	A.	No.
15	Q.	Against anyone at all?
16	A.	Of these nine Defendants?
17	Q.	Yes.
18	A.	No.
19	Q.	In fact, are you aware similar to the question you've been asked a few times as
20	to m	y client, Michael Meek's adoption of the idea of killing police officers other than
21	the p	proffer and the recordings that have been submitted to the Court either on March
22	27th	or to today?
23	A.	Not other than the recordings.
24	Q.	This arrest on March 27th was based on a pretext. In other words, individuals
25	were	e told this would be a memorial service for an individual that they knew, a friend of
L		

1	their'	?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	And according to you or at least excuse me the Government, Mr. Meeks
4	has r	nade a statement in the past that he wished to die by cop-a-cide?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	In other words, he wanted to die because police officers shot and killed him so
7	he co	ould be a martyr for this cause?
8	A.	According to the recording.
9	Q.	On March 27th when he went to this funeral memorial service, he was
10	arres	ted?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	He was arrested by police officers or law enforcement officers?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	He was arrested by over 30 Agents of the FBI?
15	A.	I don't know how many were there, but approximately.
16	Q.	Did he pull out a gun?
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	Did he say you're going to have to kill me?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Did he resist in any way?
21	A.	No.
22	Q.	Did he die by cop-a-cide?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	Did he take any actions to suggest that the statement I want to die by
25	cop-a	a-cide was true in any way?
L		

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	In fact, after his arrest he cooperated with the FBI, is that right?
3	A.	He gave a statement.
4	Q.	He waived Miranda?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	He signed a Miranda waiver form?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	And he talked to you?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	After that you searched by the way, when my client was arrested he had no
11	firearı	m on his person?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	And you searched his residence and the residence of his parents?
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	Were any bombs found?
16	A.	Not that I recall.
17	Q.	Any explosive devices?
18	A.	Not that I recall.
19	Q.	Any illegal guns?
20	A.	There were guns seized and again, I don't know if any of them are illegal until
21	they (go to laboratory.
22	Q.	Do you have any information today that my client was in possession of illegal
23	gunsí	?
24	A.	No, I do not.
25	Q.	Any unregistered guns?

1	A.	No.
2	Q.	Any automatic, fully automatic weapons?
3	A.	Again, I don't know if they're fully automatically entitle they go back to the lab.
4	As	s of right now, no.
5	Q.	Again, as questioned by Mr. Swor, the only bomb or explosive device that you
6	ar	e aware of in connection to my client was made and manufactured by an FBI
7	un	dercover agent?
8	A.	Correct.
9	Q.	No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.
10		THE COURT: Mr. Seikaly.
11		CROSS-EXAMINATION
12	<u>BY</u>	MR. SEIKALY:
13	Q.	Agent Larsen, my name is Christopher Seikaly. I represent Jacob Ward.
14	W	e've never met before, have we?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Now Mr. Ward was arrested in Huron, Ohio at his mother's home?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Were you present?
19	A.	No.
20	Q.	Have you any information whatsoever as to whether he he gave no
21	re	sistance, correct?
22	A.	No.
23	Q.	He was asked by the police, whoever were there, FBI Agents or whoever to
24	Wa	alk back come out of the house and walk backwards toward them?
25	A.	I don't know what the commands were. I wasn't present, but there was no
L		

1	resis	tance.
2	Q.	And they searched that house?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	You're aware that that's his mother's house?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	You're aware that his mother is a Corrections Officer for the State of Ohio?
7	A.	Yes.
8	Q.	When you searched that house, did you find any weapons?
9	A.	No.
10	Q.	Did you find any bombs?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	Bomb-making material?
13	A.	No.
14	Q.	Any illegal substances?
15	A.	No.
16	Q.	Anything that would have been illegal at all?
17	A.	I don't believe so.
18	Q.	You're aware of the fact that he's a pizza delivery person in Huron, Ohio for
19	Dom	ino's Pizza?
20	A.	At one point and time I believe he was.
21	Q.	He's 33 years of age?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	Do you have any information whatsoever that he possessed at any time any
24	bomb	os?
25	A.	I do not have any information on that.

1	Q.	That he possessed any IEDs?
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	That he possessed any type of explosive devices whatsoever?
4	A.	No.
5	Q.	That he gave instruction on how to prepare, build, make explosive devices?
6	A.	No.
7	Q.	He was not on this trip to Kentucky, was he?
8	A.	No, he was not.
9	Q.	So he didn't hear the for lack of a better word I think somebody called it
10	manif	esto or speech that Mr. Stone gave?
11	A.	Correct.
12	Q.	Are you aware of any other time that he might have heard that?
13	A.	That specific speech?
14	Q.	Yes.
15	A.	I'm not aware.
16	Q.	Is there any reason why he could not, if he did possess a gun, possess a gun
17	A.	No.
18	Q.	He's not a convicted felon?
19	A.	He's not.
20	Q.	Did you confiscate his mother's computer?
21	A.	I do not I don't know if we did or not. I'd have to go back and look at the
22	tabula	ation on that.
23	Q.	If it was confiscated, you're not aware of any improper things on that
24	comp	uter?
25	A.	I am not, no.
L		

2		Thank you. MR. WATERSTREET: Your Honor, before we move on, I haven't done Redirect of the witness or Cross actually that I would normally do of the witness,
3		
3		Venileri oi ille miilless oi Cioss arinaliv illai i monin iloillialiv no oi ille miilless'i
4	MI 11 12	
4	but e	s to Mr. Seikaly's client, may I ask one?
5		THE COURT: Is that the only Redirect or examination you see yourself
6	enga	iging in?
7		MR. WATERSTREET: As to what has been proffered?
8		THE COURT: As to all of them?
9		MR. WATERSTREET: Questions for this witness, yes.
10		THE COURT: Why don't you wait?
11		MR. WATERSTREET: It was just the timing of the series of questions.
12		THE COURT: All right. Go head.
13		EXAMINATION
14	BY I	MR. WATERSTREET:
15	Q.	I believe Mr. Seikaly asked you questions concerning firearms possessed by
16	Mr. V	Vard. Do you remember those series of questions?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	Did there come a point and time to your knowledge that Mr. Ward's firearms
19	were	taken away by somebody?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	By whom?
22	A.	His mother.
23	Q.	Why?
24	A.	She felt that Mr. Ward was mentally unstable and felt that it best that she
25	confi	scate those weapons away from him.

1	Q.	Thank you.
2		THE COURT: Thank you. Anything further?
3		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY I	MR. SEIKALY:
5	Q.	Do you know when that occurred?
6	A.	I don't know exactly. I think it was July, 2009.
7	Q.	Back in July?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	So he didn't have any weapons during the this August 15th, 27th, '09, the
10	wedo	ding on December 12th of '09, this training that occurred on February 20th? He
11	had	no weapons in his possession, correct?
12	A.	My understanding is that he would travel to the Hutaree training area in Clayton
13	or no	ot for the wedding, but for the Hutaree trainings and would receive weapons once
14	he g	ot to David Stone's trailer.
15	Q.	He didn't have any weapons of his own?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	He would utilize someone else's?
18	A.	Yes.
19	Q.	Somebody would loan him a gun?
20	A.	Yes, but he did not come with any.
21	Q.	Do you know how this confiscation of his weapons took place?
22	A.	I don't know. No, I'm sorry. I know that his mother took them and gave them
23	to a	family member and then when she was interviewed, the family member dropped
24	the g	guns off where the Agents were interviewing the mother and Agents took
25	poss	ession of those firearms.

1	Q.	What are they?
2	A.	I believe one is a handgun and I'm not sure what the other one is. I think
3	there	s's two or three.
4	Q.	Thank you.
5		THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Scharg.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY I	MR. SCHARG:
8	Q.	Thank you. Good afternoon, Agent Larsen.
9	A.	Good afternoon.
10	Q.	I represent Kristopher Sickles. I have some questions for you. This has been
11	an o	ngoing investigation for approximately two years, is that correct?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	Into the Hutaree militia?
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	When did Kris Sickles first get on the radar or identified as participating in this
16	militi	a training?
17	A.	We started the investigation in the end of 2008 and I believe it was at that time.
18	Q.	Is it fair to say that over the period of two years there were a number of
19	mee	tings and training sessions?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	And from the information we got from the Government at the initial Detention
22	Hear	ring, Mr. Sickles was only identified in the meeting session trainings on
23	Sept	ember 27th, 2008, August 22nd, 2009, November 7, 2009, January 9, 2010 and
24	Febr	uary 20, 2010. Is it fair to say that Mr. Sickles was not a participant or not not
25	pres	ent at a number of the meetings or training sessions?
L		

1	A.	Yes.
2	Q.	Mr. Sickles was not present at the training session or meeting when the
3	explo	osives devices were demonstrated, is that correct?
4	A.	I don't recall on that. I'm sorry.
5	Q.	He was not present at the Kentucky summit?
6	A.	Correct.
7	Q.	He was not present at the wedding?
8	A.	Which wedding? There were two weddings.
9	Q.	Was he present at either wedding?
10	A.	I don't believe he was present at either wedding.
11	Q.	And he was not present during this memorial service?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	On March 27th?
14	A.	Correct.
15	Q.	In fact, Mr. Sickles was arrested at his home in Sandusky, Ohio, is that
16	corre	ect?
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	On the same day?
19	A.	Correct.
20	Q.	Mr. Sickles, from the information that you had received and the information that
21	you l	nad in the surveillance and investigation, Mr. Sickles was 27 years old?
22	A.	Yes.
23	Q.	And he lived in northwest Ohio for most of his life?
24	A.	Right.
25	Q.	He actually you had information that he had moved to Seattle, Washington

A.	Yes.
Q.	But then he returned to raise his family in the Sandusky/Huron area, is that
corre	ect?
A.	That's correct.
Q.	When he was taken into custody, he was home with his two minor children,
four	years old and approximately seven months old?
Α.	Yes.
Q.	And there was he surrendered without incident?
A.	Yes.
Q.	And in fact, there was a cache of weapons and ammunition and other military
item	s at the house, is that correct?
A.	Correct.
Q.	None of them were illegal?
Α.	I don't know if they are or not. Not to my knowledge at this point.
Q.	At the present time as we are here today and as you're testifying, you do not
knov	w of any illegal ammunition or armament that he had in his home?
A.	Correct.
Q.	You agree with me that there was a substantial amount of weapons and
amn	nunition, but as you testified here today, there is you have no evidence that
there	e was any illegal weapons or ammunition, is that correct?
A.	Correct.
Q.	Just addressing some of the issues that were raised at the initial Detention
Hea	ring in front of Judge Sheer, the Government argued that Mr. Sickles was the
self-	proclaimed leader of the Ohio militia?

1	A.	Yes.	
2	Q.	Is it fair to say that there is one member of the Ohio militia as far as you know	
3	and it	was Mr. Sickles?	
4	A.	I think there were two.	
5	Q.	Oh, I'm sorry. It was twice as many?	
6	A.	Correct.	
7	Q.	The Ohio militia, being the self-proclaimed leader of the Ohio militia, there we	е
8	two n	nembers of that militia?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10	Q.	Mr. Sickles was one of them?	
11	A.	Correct.	
12	Q.	Mr. Sickles had called for a million man armed march on Washington?	
13	A.	Yes.	
14	Q.	To in terms of the Second Amendment right to bear arms, is that correct?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	And there was no march?	
17	A.	No march.	
18	Q.	Didn't get off the ground, did it?	
19	A.	No.	
20	Q.	Not even the two militia members from Ohio made it to that million man march	?
21	A.	Not that I'm aware of.	
22	Q.	Okay. We talked about his weapons, but he also had a CCW permit at the	
23	time?		
24	A.	Yes.	
25	Q.	At that time?	

Α. Correct. Q. All of the arms, meaning all of the weapons in his possession at that time were confiscated and seized by the Government, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Any ammunition, any weapons, they were all seized, is that correct? Α. Yes, anything that was present. You're not aware of any other armament or weapons that he had in his Q. possession or that he has control over? Α. No. So if he was released on bond, there's no indication that he has either Q. possession or control of any weapons out on the street, is that correct? Α. Correct. Q. And in fact, Ohio has been contacted. Has there been any proceedings to rescind his CCW permit? A. I'm not aware of any. Q. And you're aware of the fact that a CCW permit can be rescinded or negated by simply contacting the Ohio authorities, is that correct? A. Yes. I'm not aware that we've done that. Now in terms of his residence outside of the state of Michigan, would you Q. agree with me that Sandusky or Huron Township, Huron, Ohio are closer to the Michigan border than a lot of areas of Michigan are to southeast Michigan? Α. Yes. Let me put it this way. Sandusky, Ohio, Huron, you're about an hour from the Q. Michigan border?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Roughly.

1	Q.	A lot closer than Marquette, Michigan?
2	A.	Yes.
3	Q.	Is that correct?
4	A.	Correct.
5	Q.	So when the Government indicates that he lives outside the Eastern District of
6	Michi	gan, he lives in very close proximity, is that correct?
7	A.	To the Eastern District, yes.
8	Q.	He, meaning Mr. Sickles, has one prior misdemeanor conviction?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	From your information, and there's no evidence in terms of your investigation of
11	any C	Capias history, any evidence of flight, risk of flight, is there in his history?
12	A.	Not that I'm aware of.
13	Q.	Okay. The Government proffer indicated that on February 20 of 2010 he
14	desire	ed a he mentioned a desire to act as a martyr or martyrdom. Are you aware
15	of tha	t?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	That's based upon this transcript, this tape that we heard today, is that correct?
18	A.	Correct.
19	Q.	It was in the context of that conversation?
20	A.	Yes.
21	Q.	You're not aware of any background or experience or training he had in
22	explo	sive devices, do you?
23	A.	No.
24	Q.	You're not aware of any assaultive behavior towards police officers, are you?
25	A.	I am not, no.
L		

Q.	In fact, did you or are you aware of law enforcement contacting Huron, Ohio			
polic	police authorities and being informed that they did not believe that Kristopher Sickles			
was	was a threat to them?			
A.	I'm not aware of that.			
Q.	You didn't read any newspaper articles or quoting of the police officer down			
there	e?			
A.	No.			
Q.	Do you know of any attempts by your Bureau or by any law enforcement to			
cont	act law enforcement in Huron to determine their perception of any threats that Mr			
Sick	les would present to them?			
A.	Mr. Sickles' investigation was run out of our Toledo Office, so I do believe that			
the 7	Foledo Office was in contact with Huron Police Department, but I don't know the			
cont	ext of that conversation.			
Q.	But you're not aware of any information that Toledo, Ohio Office received that			
Mr. S	Sickles would be a threat to local law enforcement?			
A.	Correct.			
Q.	This one incident regarding this cat. Do you have any information when			
supp	posedly when this cat was killed?			
A.	My understanding is the cat was killed approximately 10 years ago by Mr.			
Sick	les.			
Q.	Ten years ago. Thank you. I have no further questions.			
	MR. WEISS: Your Honor, if I could just ask the Court a question. My			
clien	t is a little bit differently situated, Mr. Piatek, because he had a detention hearing			
in In	in Indiana. With the Court's permission, I'd like to incorporate the witnesses that were			
pres	ented on his behalf and simply by examining the Agents, supplement that record.			

1	THE COURT: That's fine.	
2	MR. WEISS: Thank you.	
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION	
4	BY MR. WEISS:	
5	Q. Good afternoon, Agent.	
6	A. Good afternoon.	
7	Q. I'm name is Art Weiss and I represent Mr. Piatek. You were present du	ıring the
8	proffer that Mr. Waterstreet made this afternoon, correct?	
9	A. Yes. Correct.	
10	Q. And he mentioned that there was a meeting or some emails transpired	around
11	on or about December, 2008 pertaining to someone who had a Federal firearr	ns
12	license?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. And there was some talk about he had a relative that was incarcerated	?
15	A. Yes. The FFL had a relative? Yes.	
16	Q. Yes. And there was an allegation about members of Hutaree talking to	him
17	about getting that relative out of custody?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. To your knowledge, did Mr. Piatek have any involvement in those email	ls or
20	those conversations?	
21	A. No.	
22	Q. Mr. Waterstreet also indicated that on or about November 15th, 2008 th	nere was
23	an Internet radio broadcast. Do you recall that?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Again, was Mr. Piatek on that Internet radio broadcast?	

Α. I haven't reviewed it, but I do not believe so. Q. Okay. Now he also indicated that there was a training session on or about June 13, 2009. A. Yes. Q. What did that training session consist of, ma'am? The training session consisted of -- I believe there was a meeting where Α. everyone just kind of congregates together and they split up into different patrol groups, went out into the wooded area and there were several IED demonstrations that occurred on that day. Q. Now when you say there were several IED demonstrations, did that pertain to all of the patrol groups? Α. I don't know. Q. Do you know which patrol group Mr. Piatek was in? Α. No, I'm sorry I don't; not for that day. Q. What demonstrations did he participate in regarding IEDs on or about June 13, 2009? Α. I don't know. Q. Then Mr. Waterstreet indicated that there was a barbecue on or about July 25, 2009? Α. Yes. Q. What transpired at that barbecue besides the obvious? Α. Conversation, food, the normal things that happen at a barbecue. There was

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q.

anti-government in nature?

JANICE COLEMAN, CSR/RPR OFFICIAL FEDERAL COURT REPORTER (313) 964-5066

some anti-government talk. I haven't reviewed the entire transcript.

What is it that Mr. Piatek said on or about July 25th, 2009 that was

1	A.	I'd have to go back and review that.	
2	Q.	At this juncture can you point to anything that he said that day that is	
3	anti-g	government in nature?	
4	A.	No.	
5	Q.	Or that he heard that day that was anti-government in nature?	
6	A.	I can't point to anything specifically.	
7	Q.	Thank you. Now Mr. Waterstreet also indicated that on or about August 22nd,	
8	2009	2009 there was another training session?	
9	A.	Yes.	
10		THE COURT: What is your date?	
11		MR. WEISS: August 22nd, 2009, Your Honor.	
12		THE COURT: Thank you.	
13	Q.	(By Mr. Weiss continuing) And I believe there's testimony that that's when that	
14	group	photo was taken, the class photo?	
15	A.	Yes.	
16	Q.	What did that training session consist of?	
17	A.	I think that was again another patrol exercise in the woods and gathering of	
18	peop	le before and after the patrol.	
19	Q.	And what did Mr. Piatek do on or about August 22nd, 2009 during this patrol in	
20	the w	voods?	
21	A.	Patrolled in the woods. I don't know what his exact actions were that day.	
22	Q.	What about the people that he patrolled one question at a time. I apologize.	
23	Who	did he patrol with that day?	
24	A.	I don't know.	
25	Q.	So you don't know what he did or what the people that he patrolled with did on	
L			

1	or ab	or about August 22nd, 2009, correct?		
2	A.	I know that they patrolled in the woods, but I don't know their specific actions.		
3	Q.	Then there was another training session on or about November 7, 2009?		
4	A.	Correct.		
5	Q.	What did Mr. Piatek do on or about November 7, 2009 at this training session		
6	A.	I believe that November 7th training session took place not at the Tomer Road		
7	resid	ence; it was at a different location in North Adams Township, Michigan and I		
8	believ	ve that that also was another training exercise. At that location several holes		
9	were	dug as part of their fortification efforts. I do not have any direct knowledge on i		
10	Mr. P	riatek dug any of those holes.		
11	Q.	Was he present when any of the holes were dug?		
12	A.	I don't know specifically if he saw the holes being dug or not.		
13	Q.	Again, they broke up into groups?		
14	A.	Yes.		
15	Q.	Different groups did different things?		
16	A.	Yes.		
17	Q.	We don't know who was in Mr. Piatek's group?		
18	A.	Correct.		
19	Q.	And we don't know what Mr. Piatek's group did?		
20	A.	Correct.		
21	Q.	There's been talk about two weddings. Did I understand that correctly?		
22	A.	That is correct.		
23	Q.	One was on or about December of 2009?		
24	A.	The 12th.		
25	Q.	December 12th, 2009 and whose wedding was that?		

f		
1	A.	David Stone Sr. and Tina Stone.
2	Q.	Was Mr. Piatek present?
3	Α.	I don't think so.
4	Q.	And when was the second wedding?
5	A.	March 13th.
б	Q.	Of this year?
7	A.	2010.
8	Q.	Whose wedding was that?
9	A.	Josh Stone.
10	Q.	And again, Mr. Piatek was not present?
11	A.	No.
12	Q.	January 20th, 2010 Mr. Waterstreet indicated that there was an email sent from
13	3 Mr. Stone? Did I understand that correctly?	
14	A.	I think that might be the right date.
15	Q.	And that email, as I understand it, consisted of diagrams that were taken from
16	a publication?	
17	A.	Yes.
18	Q.	That had the origin of that publication had nothing to do with Hutaree,
19	9 correct?	
20	A.	Correct.
21	Q.	It was just information out there?
22	A.	Yep, publicly available.
23	Q.	Who was that email sent to?
24	A.	To the undercover agent.
25	Q.	To your knowledge it was not sent to Mr. Piatek, was it?

1	A.	It was not.
2	Q.	Thank you. Have you interviewed all of the members of Hutaree?
3	A.	I have not personally.
4	Q.	Have your fellow Agents interviewed all of them?
5	A.	All of the nine Defendants.
6	Q.	What about the other 25 plus or minus that are not in the courtroom today?
7	A.	No.
8	Q.	So we don't know what portions of the Hutaree dogma they adopt and they
9	don't adopt, correct?	
10	A.	Correct.
11	Q.	We don't know what they knew and what they didn't know?
12	A.	Correct.
13	Q.	Did any or all of those 25 individuals participate in the various training
14	sessions?	
15	A.	Some did. Some correspondence was over email, phone.
16	Q.	For example, the training session that occurred on or about June 13th, 2009,
17	did an	y of those 25 not indicted individuals attend and participate?
18	A.	Yes, I believe so.
19	Q.	Did any or all of those 25 unindicted individuals appear at the July 25th, 2009
20	barbecue?	
21	A.	I'd have to go back and check, but I believe so.
22	Q.	Did any or all of those 25 unindicted individuals participate
23		MR. WATERSTREET: (Interjecting) Your Honor, if I may, what is the
24	releva	ince of unindicted
25		MR. WEISS: Your Honor, I would assume the fact that they're

A.

1	A. I think so. I have to go back and check my notes, but I do believe there were
2	individuals there.
3	Q. To your knowledge, were any or all of the 25 unindicted Hutaree members
4	present at the November 7th, 2009 training session?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Thank you. Could I just have a moment, Your Honor?
7	THE COURT: Yes.
8	MR. WEISS: Nothing else. Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you. Any examination of this witness by the
10	Government?
11	MR. WATERSTREET: We have no questions, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: No questions. All right. Agent Larsen, you can stand
13	down. Thank you.
14	Let me just inquire because we're going to bring this to conclusion. Are there
15	any other Defense witnesses?
16	MR. HELFRICK: Your Honor, as I indicated last week at our meeting, I
17	submitted some letters to the Court for consideration. All of those individuals who
18	wrote letters are present in court. I don't intend to call them, but if the Court has
19	questions for them or if Mr. Waterstreet has questions for them they're here and
20	they're available, but I didn't intend to call them as witnesses.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else?
22	MR. RATAJ: Your Honor, Mike Rataj on behalf of Tina Mae Stone. He
23	father who was interviewed by Pretrial Services prior to our Detention Hearing in fror
24	of Magistrate Judge Sheer is also here. Mom and Dad both are here. They both
25	agree to act as third-party custodians. I don't propose to put them on the witness

stand, but if Your Honor or the Government wishes to ask them any questions, they're here. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Thomas. MR. THOMAS: I had spoken to you about a letter I was going to get from my client's wife. I got it faxed to me on Monday. I have the original now. I only had the fax. I'd like to proffer it to the Court. THE COURT: Thank you. And her name is? MR. THOMAS: Judge, it's a three-page letter. It's from his wife, Shannon Stone, and I would indicate to the Court that she's here and supports that letter and if she were asked to be questioned about it, that she's available to do that. 10 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rataj, what is your client's father's name please? 12 MR. RATAJ: It's Timothy Kelly and Henrietta Kelly. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Helfrick, the letters you have pleas 15 identify who they're from. MR. HELFRICK: Yes. One is from his fiancee -- Brittany Bryant, his 16 17 fiance. The second is from her father, Kevin Bryant and the last one is from Mr. & 18 Mrs. Claude Vandavender (phonetic). THE COURT: Thank you. Any other information, Mr. Satawa? 19 MR. SATAWA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Likewise on behalf of Mt. 20 21 Meeks, Your Honor, my client's two parents are in the courtroom who are willing to 22 serve as custodians of Mr. Meeks. And Your Honor, just as importantly, my client's 23 employer, Matthew Anderson, wrote a --THE COURT: Excuse me. Mr. Weiss, who is your client? Mr. Piatek, 24 you're distracting me. 25

MR. WEISS: I apologize, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. You were saying what, Mr. Satawa?

MR. SATAWA: Your Honor, my client's parents are present in the courtroom and are willing to serve as third-party custodians of my client, Mr. Meeks. They are available in the courtroom. I do not intend on calling them. However, if the Court or the Government wishes to ask them questions, they are available. Their names are Eurgen and Sylvia Meeks.

THE COURT: Give me the spelling.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SATAWA: E-u-r-g-e-n and Sylvia Meeks, Your Honor. Also my client's employer, Matthew Anderson, wrote a letter of support of my client which was submitted at the Detention Hearing last month in front of Judge Sheer. I know it's part of the record that the Court has reviewed, but Mr. Anderson is also likewise in the courtroom today and available for questioning if necessary by either the Government or this Court.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you very much. I intend on calling no further witnesses, but we also have the parents of -- both parents of Mr. Joshua Clough here and available and that's Charlotte and William Clough, but I was not going to call them. Just they're here just like everybody else's parents.

MR. SCHARG: On behalf of Mr. Sickles, Your Honor, his mother Pam Romick and Kelly Sickles, both of the Sandusky, Ohio area. They are referenced in the Pretrial Services Report and both have agreed to act as third-party custodians. As I proffered to you -- well, at -- proffered before and developed during Cross-examination of the Case Agent, Mr. Sickles was residing in a home with his wife, Kelly and two minor children who are four years old and one years old. His wife,

Kelly works outside the home and I would ask the Court consider home detention for Mr. Sickles with tether or GPS which would allow his wife to work and he would remain home providing daycare services for his two minor children. THE COURT: Mr. Swor. MR. SWOR: Your Honor --THE COURT: I really just wanted -- I do have time set aside tomorrow. My question was if anyone had any other witnesses. I'm hearing proffers only. No one else has any other witnesses, is that true? MR. SWOR: That is correct, Your Honor. And I just as I indicated to the Court last week, I have Mr. Stone's father, Ray Stone here. He is prepared to be examined by the Court should the Court wish and his mother, Ada Thornton who does not live with his father, and is not here and for the record both of them have indicated their willingness to act as third-party custodians. If you want to hear my proffer, fine. If not, we'll wait 'til tomorrow. THE COURT: Thank you. MR. SATAWA: I'm sorry. Just to clarify the record, I was right the first time. I couldn't read my own sloppy notes taken. It's actually Eugene, my client's

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

father. So to the extent the Court wants to consider the third-party custodian, I think it's important for the Court to have the right name of the father.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Mr. Waterstreet, is there anything else that you want to direct the Court's attention to?

MR. WATERSTREET: Well, Your Honor, if these people are going to be proffered, I would like to have an opportunity to hear from them and question them and then I might have some rebuttal.

THE COURT: So are you saying you want to put them on the witness

```
stand?
                    MR. WATERSTREET: If I could, yes, Your Honor. I would like to do
       that.
                    THE COURT: The Court has set aside 1:30 tomorrow to resume. I think
       it was cleared. Does that work for everyone?
                    MR. WEISS: I have a matter in State court. If I have a problem with the
       State Judge may I direct that staff to Your Honor's staff?
                    THE COURT: Yes. Didn't Carol clear the time tomorrow with you?
                    THE CLERK OF THE COURT: I put it on our calendar. We do have the
       morning as well.
10
11
                    THE COURT: But not on their calendar?
                    MR. SATAWA: I'll try to resolve my situation in the morning.
12
                    MR. THOMAS: I have a sentencing in Grand Rapids on a case that's
13
14
       been adjourned three times.
                    THE COURT: Does tomorrow morning work for everyone?
15
                    MR. SEIKALY: I have three different district courts to be at.
16
                    THE COURT: I need to take a moment then.
17
                     (Proceedings adjourned at about 5:02 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
                            COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
22
23
24
       STATE OF MICHIGAN)
25
                             )
                                 SS.
```

```
COUNTY OF WAYNE )
      I, Janice Coleman, Official Court Reporter, certify that the
      foregoing pages are a correct transcript from the record of
      proceedings taken by me to the best of my ability in the
      above-entitled matter.
10
                         S/____
11
                           JANICE COLEMAN, CSR 1095/RPR
12
13
14
15
      DATED: May 10, 2010
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```